1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY 2 Civil Action No. 03-4837(DMC) 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : EX REL. DR. HELENE Z. HILL, 4 : Plaintiffs, 5 : -vs-DEPOSITION OF: 6 : UNIVERSITY OF MEDICINE & DR. HELENE Z. HILL 7 DENTISTRY OF NEW JERSEY, : DR. ROGER W. HOWELL and DR. 8 ANUPAM BISHAYEE, : 9 Defendants. : 10 _____ 11 BEFORE: 12 13 SHARON B. STOPPIELLO, a Certified Court 14 Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New Jersey, at the offices of BUCCERI & PINCUS, ESQS., 15 16 1200 Route 46, Clifton, New Jersey, on Friday, January 23, 2009, commencing at 10:05 a.m., pursuant 17 to Notice. 18 19 20 21 22 23 DepoLink 24 Court Reporting & Litigation Support Services Phone (973) 353-9880 Fax (973) 353-9445 25 www.depolinklegal.com

1

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	BUCCERI & PINCUS, ESQS. 1200 Route 46
4	Clifton, New Jersey 07013-2440 (973) 773-5665
5	BY: SHELDON H. PINCUS, ESQ. Counsel for the Plaintiff
6	
7	MCELROY, DEUTSCH, MULVANEY & CARPENTER, LLP
8	1300 Mt. Kemble Avenue P.O. Box 2075
9	Morristown, New Jersey 07962-2075 (973) 993-8100
10	BY: JOHN P. LEONARD, ESQ. -and-
11	SCOTT S. FLYNN, ESQ. Counsel for the Defendants
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		I N D	ΕX		
2	WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
3	DR. HELENE	Z. HILL			
4	BY MR. I	EONARD 4			
5					
6					
7		EXHIE	3 I T S		
8	NO.	DESCRI	PTION		I.D.
9	Hill-1	Graph drawn by Dı Experiment	. Hill	of 100%	62
10	Hill-2	Document entitled	l "Saton	tifio	
11		Misconduct" writt Bates stamped 000	en by D		87
12	TI-11 0	_]	
13	Hill-3	Paper written by "The impossibilit decline in surviv	y of an	exponenti	al
14		cells in the pres thymidine," Bates			
15	רביז 4	-	_		
16	Hill-4	Written Disclosum 10/10/03, Bates s			ed 129
17	Hill-5	Supplement to Wr Dr. Hill dated 4/			
18		000335-361	-,, -		129
19					
20					
21	REQUESTED	INFORMATION:			
22	None				
23					
24					
25					

1 HELENE Z. HILL, 3 Silver Spring Road,

2 West Orange, New Jersey 07052, is sworn.3 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LEONARD:

Good morning, Dr. Hill. My name is 4 0 John Leonard. I'm a member of the law firm of 5 6 McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter. I represent 7 certain individuals and entities, specifically University of Medicine & Dentistry of New Jersey, 8 9 Dr. Roger Howell and Dr. Anupam Bishayee in an 10 action filed by you pending in the United States 11 District Court. It's a qui tam action.

We're here today to take your deposition. I know that you've had your deposition taken before, But let me give you some rules as to how we're going to proceed, so that this process can be as effective and efficient for both of us as possible.

17 I will be asking you a series of questions. 18 The court reporter sitting to my right will be 19 taking down, as she is now, everything that we say 20 verbatim. Consequently, I ask that when you respond 21 to my question, you do so verbally. You may well 22 nod your head and I'll know exactly what you mean, 23 but she just won't be able to record it. 24 If you are confused by any of my questions

25 or you don't understand any of my questions, please

4

stop and let me know that. I will rephrase the 1 2 question and hopefully do it in a way that you do 3 understand it. If you do understand a question, I'll assume that you have understood the question. 4 5 Fair enough? 6 А Yes. 7 You were just placed under oath. 0 That oath is the same as if you were testifying at 8 9 trial or in a court of law. Do you understand that? Yes, I do. 10 А 11 The court reporter can only record Q 12 one of us at a time. Therefore, I ask that you allow me to finish my question before you give your 13 14 response, and I will let you finish your response 15 before I start another question. Okay? 16 А Okay. 17 Your counsel sitting to your right, Q 18 Mr. Pincus, may make an objection in response to one 19 of my questions. If he does, I ask that you please 20 suspend your answer, let he and I discuss the 21 objection, and do not answer unless or until he 22 instructs you to. 23 А Okay. 24 If you need a break at any time, just Q

say so. We're not in a marathon. Anytime you want

25

```
to stop, just let me know and we can stop.
 1
 2
            Okay.
     А
 3
            Q
              Are you on any medications today?
            No.
 4
     А
              Now, you've given a deposition
 5
            0
 6
     before; isn't that true?
 7
     А
           That's true.
 8
           Q How many times?
 9
     А
           Once.
            Q And when was that?
10
          About four or five months ago.
11
     А
12
            Q
              And what was that deposition in
     connection with?
13
14
     А
            The women at the New Jersey Medical School
15
     are suing for equalization of salary. I believe
16
     it's a class action case, but I'm not sure, and I
17
     would be a named member of the class.
18
            Q
              So you're an actual named member?
19
     А
           Yes.
20
            Q
              Except for that deposition, have you
21
     ever given a statement under oath before?
22
     A No, I don't think so.
23
            Q Have you ever testified at any
24
     proceeding of any type, legal proceeding?
25
     A No.
```

```
In preparation for today's
1
            Q
     deposition, what did you do?
2
3
     А
            Nothing, really.
                   Did you review any documents?
4
            0
            Not specifically for this deposition.
5
     А
               When you say "not specifically," what
6
            Q
7
     do you mean?
8
            I didn't sit down and open up a book and
     А
9
     review any documents specifically for this
     deposition.
10
11
                   So none of the documents produced by
            Q
12
     either side, you didn't look at those?
            Many of them are known to me, but I didn't
13
     А
14
     specifically look at them for this deposition.
15
            0
                  The complaints?
16
            Are known to me.
     А
17
                   But, again, you didn't look at it in
            Q
18
     preparation for your testimony here today?
19
            No.
     А
20
            Q
                  Any of the transcripts from any prior
21
     depositions taken in the case?
22
     А
            Not specifically today, for today.
23
            Q But have you read them?
24
     А
            Yes, I have.
25
            Q And when did you read them?
```

```
They were sent to me by e-mail and I read
1
     Α
     them. I read the e-mails when they arrived.
2
3
                    Do you know when it is they arrived?
             Q
             I think mostly about two weeks after the
4
     А
     depositions had occurred.
5
6
             Q
                    Did you speak to anyone besides Mr.
      Pincus in preparation for today's deposition?
7
8
            No.
     А
9
                    MR. LEONARD: Shelly, I'm going to
     use the previous stickers from previous depositions
10
11
     so we don't have multiple copies of the same
12
     documents. Does that make sense?
                    MR. PINCUS: Whatever is your
13
     pleasure works for me. Do you have extra copies for
14
15
     me?
16
                    MR. LEONARD: Yes.
                    MR. PINCUS: Thanks.
17
18
                    MR. LEONARD: I just figured this
19
     way, when we're stipulating documents for trial, we
20
     don't have four different copies of things with
21
     different numbers on them.
22
                    MR. PINCUS: No problem.
23
             Q
                    Dr. Hill, I'm going to provide you
24
     with a document that was previously marked as
     Exhibit 9 during the deposition of Dr. Lenarczyk,
25
```

1	which took plac	ce in Milwaukee. In fact, you were
2	present for tha	at deposition; isn't that true?
3	A Yes, I w	las.
4	Q C	Can you take a minute, please, and
5	just look at th	nat document?
6	A I see wh	nat it is. I can't digest it.
7	QI	'hat's okay. Do you recognize it?
8	A Yes, I d	lo.
9	Q P	and, in fact, is that your signature
10	on the last pag	je?
11	A Yes, it	is.
12	Q P	and I'll represent to you that that
13	is your answers	s to defendant's interrogatories. Do
14	you recognize t	that as that document?
15	A Yes.	
16	Q I	Do you have any reason to believe as
17	you sit here to	oday that the information in that
18	document has ch	nanged or is otherwise inaccurate?
19	A No.	
20	Q I	Do you have any information that you
21	believe would n	need to supplement your responses to
22	that document?	
23	A No.	
24	Q T	'hank you.
25	Μ	IR. PINCUS: Just objection to the

1	form o	f the q	uestion. Other than to the extent
2	we've	already	supplemented it through providing you
3	other	documen	ts or something that would potentially
4	refer	to with:	in that, her answer would stand.
5		Q	But this is interrogatories. I know
6	that t	here's l	been documents. My question really is,
7	as you	sit he	re today, is there any information that
8	you're	aware	that would need to be provided to
9	supplement the responses to our interrogatories?		
10	A	No.	
11		Q	Thank you.
12		Dr. Hi	ll, what is your date of birth?
13	A	April 1	10th, 1929.
14		Q	And your Social Security number?
15	A	011-28	-8632.
16		Q	And I'm sorry, what was your current
17	addres	s? I ki	now you gave it at the outset.
18	A	3 Silve	er Spring Road, West Orange, New
19	Jersey	•	
20		Q	Have you ever been charged with a
21	crime?		
22	A	No.	
23		Q	I'm sorry I have to ask that. It's
24	embarr	assing,	but it's something that we have to
25	ask.		

A It's okay. 1 2 Q Are you currently married? 3 А I am. What is your husband's name? 0 4 Dr. George J. Hill. 5 А Q And your husband, Dr. Hill, is 6 retired; is that correct? 7 8 A Yes, he is. 9 Q Were you married previously? Yes, I was. 10 А 11 Q What is your former husband's name? 12 А James Hedgcock Grover, H-e-d-g-c-o-c-k. Q Do you know what year you were 13 14 divorced? 15 A 1960. Q And you have children? 16 17 А Yes. 18 Q Could I have their names and ages, 19 please? 20 А James Warren Hill, he is 55 today. 21 Q Today is his birthday? Today is his birthday. I have a son who is 22 А 23 deceased. Do you need information about that? 24 Q Just his name would be fine, ma'am? 25 A David Hedgcock Hill. I have two daughters,

Sara Hill and Helena Rundall Hill, R-u-n-d-a-l-l, 1 and Helena is H-e-l-e-n-a. I am H-e-l-e-n-e. 2 3 Q Have you or your husband ever been named as a defendant in any court proceeding? 4 5 А No. 6 Q Have you or your husband ever sued 7 anyone else? 8 No. А 9 0 A moment ago when we talked about depositions, you talked about being a named 10 11 plaintiff in a class action suit. 12 А I'm not sure whether it's a class action or 13 not. 14 Okay. Let me see if I characterize Q this correctly. It's a suit brought by certain 15 16 faculty members of the university, woman faculty 17 members bringing a suit based on gender bias? 18 А Yes. Where is that suit pending? 19 0 20 А In the New Jersey Civil Courts. 21 Q Do you know if it's Federal or State? 22 А It's State. 23 Q And that's currently pending? 24 А Yes. 25 Q And have you alleged to have suffered

damages in connection with the allegations in that 1 2 complaint? 3 А I quess so. What damages do you allege you 4 0 suffered as a result of the allegations that are in 5 6 that complaint? 7 That my salary is less than it should have А been if I had been hired at a fair salary. 8 9 0 So you're saying that there was a difference in the beginning hiring amounts for men 10 11 and women, essentially? 12 А Yes. Q And as we sit here today, is it your 13 14 claim that that difference continues throughout your 15 career at UMDNJ? 16 А Yes. 17 Q Are you seeking the services of any 18 healthcare provider in connection with the claims 19 you are making in that case? 20 А I don't understand your question. 21 Q Are you alleging emotional distress, 22 are you --23 А Oh, no. 24 Q So you're not taking any medication 25 as a result of the allegations?

1 А No. 2 Q Or what is happening in that case? 3 А No. Do you know the approximate amount of 4 0 5 damages that you personally have suffered in that 6 case, in connection with the allegations in that 7 case? We had a statistician who calculated the 8 А 9 salaries of the men compared to the salaries of the 10 women, and the women salaries were about \$8,000 a 11 year less than the men's salaries. 12 0 Can I ask the name of that 13 statistician? 14 А I'm having a senior moment and I don't 15 remember right now. Q If you do recall, maybe you could 16 17 just let me know. 18 А Yes. 19 The \$8,000 a year less, would that be 0 20 for every year that you were there? 21 Yes. He made a curve, and the women's А 22 salaries were parallel to the men's and the women's 23 salaries were just an increment of \$8,000 less than 24 the men. 25 Q I'd like to go to your educational

```
training.
1
2
          Bart Holland, B-a-r-t H-o-l-l-a-n-d. It
     А
3
     takes a while for it to work its way up.
           Q It takes a while for it to work its
4
     way up with me, as well.
5
           What college did you attend, Dr. Hill?
6
7
     А
       Smith College.
8
          Q And your major field of study?
9
     А
          Premedical sciences and French.
10
           Q What dates did you attend Smith
11
     College?
     A 1946 to 1950.
12
           Q And you received your Bachelor's
13
14
     degree here, I assume?
15
     A That's correct.
16
           Q Did you get a Master's degree or did
     you just go into a Ph.D. program?
17
18
     А
           I went directly to a Ph.D.
              Where did you obtain your Ph.D.?
19
           0
20
     А
           At Brandeis University, B-r-a-n-d-e-i-s.
21
           Q When did you start your Ph.D.
22
     program?
23
     A In 1956.
24
       Q And what was your Ph.D. actually in?
25
   A In biology.
```

1		Q	You wrote a thesis, I assume?
2	A	I did,	yes.
3		Q	What was the topic of your thesis.
4	Don't	say Bar	t Holland.
5	A	It was	a very long title. It had to do with
6	the ul	traviol	et oh, man, what was it? The effect
7	of ult	raviole	t light on chloroplasts of euglena,
8	e-u-g-	l-e-n-a	, gracilis, g-r-a-c-i-l-i-s. Is that
9	enough	? You	don't need to know the whole thing.
10		Q	No, that's enough.
11			MR. PINCUS: Enjoy your reading,
12	John.		
13		Q	Was that paper actually published?
14	А	I got	three publications from my thesis.
15		Q	Do you know what journals?
16	А	The Bi	ophysical Journal.
17		Q	Okay.
18	A	I mean	they were all three in the
19	Biophy	sical J	ournal.
20		Q	Okay. Outside of your formal
21	educat	ion and	your Bachelor and Ph.D. program, do
22	you ha	ve any	other training?
23	A	I did j	postdoctoral fellowships.
24		Q	Where were they?
25	A	The fi	rst one was at Harvard Medical School.

1	Q I've heard of that. What did you do
2	at Harvard Medical School?
3	A I was in what used to be called the
4	bacteriology department, and I studied protein
5	synthesis in rat liver.
6	Q How long did you do that?
7	A Two years.
8	Q Was that a paid position?
9	A Well, it was a fellowship, a postdoctoral
10	fellowship.
11	Q And what did you do upon completion
12	of that fellowship?
13	A We moved to Colorado and I was a
14	postdoctoral fellow at the University of Colorado
15	Medical Center in Denver.
16	Q What was your husband, Dr. Hill,
17	doing at this time?
18	A When we met he was a resident at the Peter
19	Bent Bringham Hospital in Boston in surgery. We
20	were married in 1960, and in 1961 we moved to
21	Bethesda for two years, and he was at the NIH and I $$
22	was working on my thesis at the NIH. And then we
23	returned to Boston and I finished my thesis.
24	I worked as an instructor at Brandeis for a
25	year, and then went to the Harvard Medical School as

```
a postdoctoral fellow. Meanwhile, my husband
1
2
     finished his residency at the Peter Bent Bringham
3
     Hospital, and we moved to Colorado.
            He took a chief residency in surgery at the
4
     University of Colorado Medical Center, and I was a
5
6
     postdoctoral fellow there for a year. And then I
7
     was promoted to assistant professor in the
     biophysics department.
8
9
            0
                 What did you do your postdoctoral
     fellowship in in Colorado?
10
11
            That was in medical genetics.
     А
12
            Q And then you say you were a professor
     there, as well?
13
14
     А
       I was promoted to assistant professor.
15
            Q And did you teach classes there?
     A I don't recall doing much teaching. Mostly
16
17
     research.
18
            Q So as an assistant professor, you
     would do mostly research, no classroom?
19
20
     А
            That's correct.
21
            Q What type of research were you doing
22
     at that time?
23
     A Medical genetics.
24
            Q You mentioned a moment ago that your
     husband worked for NIH?
2.5
```

1	A Yes.
2	Q What did he do for NIH?
3	A He was in the public health service, and he
4	was a clinical fellow was his title, I believe.
5	Q And how long was he there?
6	A I should have reviewed these documents.
7	Q It always seems that way.
8	A You're reaching back pretty far into the
9	past.
10	Q I understand and I apologize.
11	How long was he with NIH?
12	A Two years.
13	Q Do you know what his duties were at
14	NIH?
15	A He wasn't doing surgery there. He was doing
16	infectious disease, and he did research in
17	infectious disease and he also saw patients,
18	patients that were used for studies, basically.
19	Prisoners they used then.
20	Q Really?
21	A Yes, right. And they were interested in
22	leprosy. He went down to Mexico and brought three
23	young men up to the United States to study for
24	leprosy, and he wrote a book about it, actually.
25	Q Now, your assistant professorship, is

```
that the first paid employment that you had?
 1
 2
          Well, when you're a postdoctoral fellow you
     А
 3
     get paid.
            0
               You get a stipend?
 4
            You get a stipend, yes, right. So yes,
 5
     А
 6
     basically it was.
 7
                Why don't we go forward from there
            0
     until the time that you joined UMDNJ.
 8
 9
     А
            Okay. So I was an assistant professor from
10
     1967 to 1972 in Denver in the biophysics department.
11
     And then we moved to St. Louis, and I was promoted
12
     to associate professor in the department of
     radiology in the section of cancer biology at
13
14
     Washington University in St. Louis. And then in
15
     1972 --
16
            Q I'm sorry, when did you --
17
     А
        1976.
18
            Q So from 1972 to '76 you were at
19
     Washington University?
20
     А
           Yes, at the medical center.
21
            Q And what did you do at Washington
22
     University?
23
     А
         I was in the section of cancer biology and I
24
     did cancer research.
25
            Q Did you have a particular area of
```

```
expertise?
1
2
            Radiation and chemotherapy.
     А
3
             Q
                   In the four years you were at
     Washington University, do you feel you were
4
     discriminated against in terms of salary?
5
6
     А
            I really don't know.
7
                    Did you ever file any complaint while
             0
     there against the university?
8
9
     А
            No.
                    Do you feel that there was any
10
             Q
11
     promotion withheld from you while you were there?
12
     А
            No.
                  And you left in 1976; is that true?
13
            0
14
     А
            Yes.
15
                   What was your reason for leaving?
             Q
16
            My husband was asked to be the department
     А
17
     chairman of the department of surgery at Marshall
18
     University Medical School in Huntington, West
19
     Virginia, and I was appointed associate professor in
20
     biochemistry at Marshall.
21
                   Again, you were associate professor,
             Q
22
     but you weren't teaching classes?
23
     А
             I was teaching there. I was teaching and
24
     doing research.
25
               Okay. About how much of your time
            Q
```

```
was dedicated to teaching versus research at that
1
2
     point?
3
     А
         Maybe 25 percent teaching and 75 percent
4
     research.
                  What were you researching?
5
            0
       Cancer, biology, chemotherapy and radiation
6
     А
7
     therapy.
            Q And what were you teaching?
8
9
     А
            I taught medical biochemistry and medical
     genetics. I was the course master for the medical
10
11
     genetics course.
12
            Q How long were there?
13
       Five years.
     А
            Q That would have been from '76 to '81?
14
15
     Α
        That's correct.
16
            Q During your time there did you file
17
     any complaints against the university or any
18
     co-workers?
19
     А
           No.
            Q
20
              Do you feel you were discriminated
21
     against in any way during your tenure there?
```

22 A Yes.

23 Q How?

24	A	You	said	dur	ing	my	tim	ne t	here	did	Ι	file	è
25	complai	ints.	Whe	en I	car	ne t	to N	lew	Jerse	ey, I	Εv	vent	to

the EEOC and filed a complaint against Marshall for
 salary discrimination.

3 Q And can I ask what the basis of your 4 complaint was?

5 I believe that my credentials were as good Α 6 or better, actually better than the two other 7 members in my department, who had been hired at 8 salaries that were substantially higher than mine. 9 So I filed a salary discrimination. And I actually 10 won a judgment that, indeed, I had been 11 discriminated against. But Clarence Thomas was the 12 head of the EEOC at the time, and the ruling was 13 that if I wanted to pursue it, I would have to get 14 my own lawyer. And I didn't want to spend any time 15 on that, that was enough. I made them feel uncomfortable, I hope. 16 17 Who were the other two members that Q 18 you referenced, were they men? 19 They were men. А 20 0 So was it a gender-based allegation? 21 I don't think so. I mean, not specifically. А 22 While you were there, did you ever 0

raise this issue with anybody at the university?A No.

25

Q You never went to anybody and said, I

don't think I'm being compensated fairly? 1 2 А No, I never complained. 3 Q You say you won a judgment from the EEOC? 4 5 А Yes. 6 Q What did you get, a right to sue 7 letter? Yes, I guess so. 8 А 9 0 So there was no dollar amount or any liability assessed? 10 11 Yes, they said \$15,000. А 12 0 And that you would then have to go get an attorney and pursue that? 13 14 А Yes, right. 15 As a matter of fact, now that I remember, at the University of Colorado I was a member of a class 16 17 action suit brought by the women. I had no active 18 role in that. But I did get a judgment, and the 19 ruling was that my salary had been \$25,000 less than 20 it should have been. And I was told that I could 21 settle for \$2,000 or I could get my own lawyer. So I took the 2,000, that was it. 22 23 0 In Colorado, this is a class action 24 brought by women faculty members? 25 Yes. А

```
You know that was certified as a
1
            0
2
     class action?
            I'm sure it was certified as a class action,
3
     А
     because I had no role in it at all. I just got a
4
     letter that my salary had been deemed less than it
5
6
     should have been.
7
            Q Who sent you a letter saying that you
     could either continue to sue or accept $2,000, do
8
9
     you know?
           That was at Marshall you mean?
10
     А
11
            Q No, in connection with the Colorado
12
     suit.
       Oh, man, I don't remember.
13
     А
14
            Q But you accepted the settlement of
15
     $2,000?
16
     А
       Right.
17
            Q
              Do you feel that you were
18
     discriminated against when you were at Colorado?
19
           They said I was.
     А
20
            Q But do you feel you were?
21
           Do I feel I was?
     А
22
            Q I thought a moment ago when we talked
23
     about Colorado you said you didn't feel that you
24
     were discriminated against.
25
            That's a hard question to answer. I think I
     А
```

probably was, but I accepted that. I liked my job,
 I liked what I was doing.

Q "Accepted" sort of infers that you
knew and you agreed to it. Did you know or feel
that you were being discriminated against?
A I felt that the women at the medical school,
the women at the university were discriminated
against.

9 I was asked to investigate the women's 10 problems, and another assistant professor and I were 11 given access to the personnel action forms at the 12 medical school and we were allowed to go through 13 them. And we tabulated the salaries that the women 14 were getting and the men, And the promotions that 15 the women were getting and the men, and then we put this into a document. And at the medical school it 16 17 was a faculty of 500, and I forget how many of the 18 men were on tenure track, but most of them were. 19 And of the women, there were 23 women on tenure 20 track and only 6 of them who had tenure. And we 21 reported that to the dean. And I think that after I 22 left, that that may have been what brought the women 23 to continue and to instigate the class action suit. 24 First of all, you said "we." Who are Q you referring to besides yourself when you say "we"? 25

26

This other professor. She was in the 1 А clinical arena and I was in the basic science arena. 2 3 Q What is her name? Jean Priest. 4 А Do you know if she's still at 5 0 6 Colorado? No, she moved. Where did she go? She moved 7 А to the South somewhere. Atlanta, it's a medical 8 9 school in Atlanta. And she teaches there you believe? 10 0 11 No, I think she's retired now. А 12 And what prompted you and this 0 13 individual to start this investigation? 14 А The Denver Post wanted to interview -- it 15 was just the beginning of the women's movement, and 16 the Denver Post wanted to interview a woman. And 17 somehow or another they interviewed me. And I had 18 never really thought about being discriminated 19 against. But when they interviewed me, I realized 20 yeah, I probably had been. And so then there was an 21 article in the newspaper about me. 22 And then I was contacted by a women's 23 committee that was on the entire university. The 24 university is in Bolder, the medical school is in Denver. And I was asked to look into women's 25

1 affairs in the Denver campus.

2 0 Do you remember the name of the 3 individual who asked you to look into those affairs? No. No. 4 А Do you recall what you did in order 5 0 6 to look into those affairs? 7 А Well, we went to the dean, I went with Jean 8 Priest, and we told the dean what was going on, and 9 we asked the dean if we could look at the personnel 10 action forms. And we got permission. That would 11 never happen today, they would never let you in, but 12 they did then. 13 Just so I have some sense of the 0 14 enormity of the undertaking, how many forms were you 15 looking at? Well, as I said, it was a faculty of about 16 А 17 500. 18 And each form was more than a page? Q 19 Not a whole lot more. I mean, we were able А 20 to see when they graduated from medical school or 21 got their Ph.D., we got that information, and what 22 their rate of promotion was and what their salaries 23 were. That was the sort of information that we were 24 looking for. I don't recall that it took a whole lot of time. 25

28

More than a day? 1 Q 2 А Oh, probably more than a day. 3 Q More than a week? You know, we would just go down there every 4 А so often and look at some more files. 5 6 Q Without trying to figure out how much 7 time per day you dedicated to this effort, from the 8 time you started it until the time you completed 9 this report, how much time would you estimate had passed? 10 11 You mean from, like, we started it on, say, А 12 March 1 and we finished it on August 1, something like that, or the number of hours? 13 14 Q No. The former. 15 А I would say maybe three months. 16 Q Three months? 17 А Yes. 18 And the document that you prepared, Q 19 how many pages was that? 20 А It was just a memo. 21 Q It was a memo? 22 А Yes. 23 Q A single-page memo? 24 А Yes. 25 Q And what information did it contain?

```
I went department by department, and I said
1
     А
2
     there are so many men on the tenure track that have
3
     tenure, their salaries are whatever, and so many
     women. The women were pretty small, so that was a
4
5
     pretty easy number to get out. So department by
6
     department.
7
                   I realize the dean said this was
            0
8
     okay, but did anybody have any idea, any faculty
9
     members, that people were looking at their salaries
     and so forth?
10
11
     А
            I don't think so.
12
            0
                  Who authored the memo? Was it you
     and this other individual?
13
14
     А
            I don't remember. Certainly I authored it.
15
     I don't remember if her name was on it or not.
16
                  Were there any documents or exhibits,
            Q
17
     attachments to it?
18
     А
            No.
19
               So it was just a general we've looked
            0
20
     at a number of files and this is the breakdown sort
21
     of?
22
     А
           Right.
23
            Q
               How long after you finished authoring
24
     that document did you leave Colorado?
     A About a year and a half.
25
```

```
Any interaction with the
1
            0
     administration regarding that memo?
2
3
     А
            They weren't very happy about it.
              How do you know that?
4
            0
           They expressed their displeasure.
5
     А
6
            Q
              When is the first time they expressed
7
     their displeasure?
          My chairman was very displeased.
8
     А
9
            Q Did he or she come to you, or how did
     you come to know that the chairman of your
10
     department was displeased?
11
12
     А
           He called me in, I believe.
              Do you recall what he said to you?
13
            Q
14
     А
       He said I shouldn't expect to get tenure at
15
     the University of Colorado Medical Center.
16
            Q Do you recall this person's name?
17
     А
          Arthur Robinson.
18
            Q Do you recall how you responded to
19
     that?
20
     А
           I cried. Women always cry.
21
            Q Is that the only conversation you had
     with Mr. Robinson about this issue?
22
23
     А
       Doctor.
24
          Q With Dr. Robinson about this issue?
25
     A I don't recall.
```

Were there other members of the 1 0 administration that expressed their displeasure with 2 3 what you had done? The University of Colorado Medical Center 4 А went through a series of deans at that time. One 5 6 would get fired and another one would come in. So I 7 interacted with several deans. And they were kind to me. What more can I say? I mean, they were 8 9 kind. Besides we were leaving anyway, so it wasn't a problem. 10 11 When you say "kind," did they express 0 12 their unhappiness with what you had done? I think they were more diplomatic than that, 13 А 14 kept it to themselves. 15 0 But did you believe that they were unhappy with what you did? 16 17 I really didn't. I'm kind of a sucker. I А 18 believe that everybody has nice thoughts. 19 So other than Dr. Robinson, that 0 20 conversation you just described, you had no 21 indication that the administration was unhappy with 22 what you had done? 23 А No. 24 Did anybody react to the newspaper Q article that came out? 25

1	A The newspaper article was very favorable.
2	It was just a profile of me, a woman, on the faculty
3	at the medical center. It just started things going
4	in my mind. And also publicized my name so that the
5	women on the main campus contacted me and asked me
6	to be on their committee.
7	Q But the article didn't address
8	disparagy and salaries?
9	A I don't think so. I haven't read it for
10	quite a few years. I have it in my file. It's a
11	nice picture.
12	Q So you left Colorado, and at some
13	point you knew a class action was filed. Did
14	somebody contact you about being a member of the
15	class?
16	A They must have.
17	Q Do you have a recollection of that?
18	A I don't ever remember talking to anybody.
19	All I remember is that I got this piece of paper
20	that I could get \$2,000 or sue on my own. I took
21	the money and ran.
22	Q And now going forward, from '76 to
23	'81 you're at Marshall?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And did anything we just discussed

1	refres	sh your	recollection about any suits or			
2	compla	ints at	Marshall while you were there?			
3	А	I didn	't bring any suits, other than when I			
4	got to	New Je	rsey I went to the EEOC.			
5		Q	And while you were at Marshall you			
6	said y	you didn	't complain, but did you have any			
7	interaction with administration over any issues you					
8	felt were wrong or improper there?					
9	А	Not re	ally.			
10		Q	So nothing about salary?			
11	A	No.				
12		Q	Working conditions?			
13	A	No.				
14		Q	Problems with co-workers?			
15	A	No.				
16		Q	So in '81 you come to New Jersey?			
17	A	Yes.				
18		Q	And you accept a position at UMDNJ?			
19	А	Yes.				
20		Q	As does your husband?			
21	А	Yes.				
22		Q	What position did you accept at			
23	UMDNJ?	•				
24	A	Profes	sor of radiology in the department of			
25	radiol	ogy.				

```
What was your start date, if you
1
            0
2
     recall?
3
     А
            It was, like, September 1st of 1981.
                And what were your duties in that
4
            0
     initial position?
5
6
     А
           Basically to do research.
7
              Did you work on your own projects or
            0
     did you assist other people at that point?
8
9
     А
            I worked on my own project.
10
            Q
               And what project was that?
11
            I had a grant from the American Cancer
     А
12
     Society to study chemotherapy, cancer chemotherapy.
                   When did you obtain that grant?
13
            Q
14
          Before I left Marshall. So I probably
     А
15
     started in '81.
16
            Q Was that the first grant that you
17
     obtained?
18
       No. Marshall was a V.A. medical school, and
     А
19
     I had, or maybe I had it with my husband, I don't
20
     really recall. We had grant money to do research.
21
     I would certainly have been the principal writer of
22
     the grant, even though it may not have been in my
23
     name. I just don't remember.
24
            Q Were you the principal investigator
     of that prior grant?
25
```

```
At Marshall, as I say, I'm not really sure,
1
     А
2
     because I did not myself have a V.A. appointment.
3
     So I don't remember. The most important thing is to
     have the money to do the research and we had it.
4
                   How much money?
5
             0
6
     А
            Oh, man, I don't remember. Always not
7
     enough. I had a technician and we had a lot of
     mice.
8
9
             0
                   So you came to UMDNJ, you had the
     grant from the American Cancer Society?
10
11
     А
            Uh-huh.
12
             0
                   I quess is just being funded around
     the time that you arrive?
13
14
     А
            Yes.
15
                   And you're working. Is that the only
             0
     thing you're working on is experiments and research
16
17
     in connection with that grant?
18
     А
            Yes.
19
                   How long did that continue?
             0
20
     А
            Two years.
21
                   And what did you work on after that?
             Q
22
             I had grants from the New Jersey Cancer
     А
23
     Research Commission. I was interested in the effect
24
     of pigment, skin pigment on DNA damage.
25
                  When did you obtain that grant?
             Q
```

I had several grants. You have my C.V., you 1 А can look it up. 2 3 Q It's important that we go through it, though. 4 Well, I don't remember. I had maybe three 5 А 6 grants from the New Jersey Cancer Research 7 Commission. All around 1983? 8 0 9 No. You know, I was funded from the time we А were at Marshall -- actually, Colorado I had what we 10 11 call an RO1 grant. That's the be all and end all of 12 grants, the RO1. I had an RO1 grant in Colorado, which I took with me to St. Louis. And then when 13 14 that expired, I was working on the Center grant that 15 our section had. And then when we moved to 16 Marshall, we were working on the V.A. grants. And 17 then when we moved to New Jersey, I had the American 18 Cancer Society grant. And in New Jersey, then, I 19 got several New Jersey Cancer Research Commission 20 grants. And then I got an RO1 grant here. 21 When did you obtain your last grant? Q 22 I think everything kind of expired in about А 23 2000. 24 And since 2000 have you obtained any Q other grants? 25

1	A No. In 1999 I was 70, and I decided that I
2	didn't want to apply for grants anymore. I had had
3	it. You write about ten grants for every one that
4	you get.
5	Q You're tenured at UMDNJ?
6	A Yes, I am.
7	Q When did you become tenured?
8	A The way the rules went when my husband and I
9	came here, you had two and a half years to get
10	tenure. And so we came in 1981, and I got tenure I
11	think in 1983. You probably have all those letters,
12	as well.
13	Q Were you given tenure the first time
14	you were up for it?
15	A Yes.
16	Q You don't ever recall not being
17	provided tenure?
18	A You have the letters, so you know that there
19	was a little bit of an argument there, but things
20	went through the first time.
21	Q When you say there was an argument,
22	what do you mean?
23	A The members of the department were supposed
24	to vote, the tenured members, and there were only
25	three of them. And one of them abstained and two

voted against me. So the current chairman was not
 going to support me, but then he decided that he
 would.

He supported me, and I went to the committee known as FCAP. I'm a member of FCAP actually right now. It's the Faculty Committee on Appointments and Promotions. And I went through, actually, I'm told with flying colors. I have very good credentials.

9 Q Do you know why your two colleagues 10 did not support you?

11 A This is a little bit of infighting. My 12 husband was the chief of surgical oncology. And one 13 of those members was the former chairman of the 14 department of radiology. And he believed that my 15 husband had been responsible for him no longer being 16 the chair.

17 Q Which person was that?

18 A Dr. Mallams.

19 Q And who is the other person who 20 didn't support you?

A The other one was Dr. Rao. When I came to the New Jersey Medical School, Dr. Mallams told me that I was to be in charge of the research. And Dr. Rao had, unbeknownst to me, had just gotten an NIH grant and RO1 grant. So Mallams put me in charge of

1	Rao and Rao didn't like that. So I think that he
2	would have preferred that I not get tenure.
3	Q And you said the chairman was not
4	going to support you?
5	A Right. But then some people talked to him
6	and he decided to support me.
7	Q What people talked to him?
8	A One of the deans talked to him.
9	Q How did it come about that the dean
10	talked to the chairman?
11	A You'll have to ask him. I have no idea.
12	They just decided they wanted to keep me. And so
13	one of the deans, Dr. Larry Feldman, went to bat for
14	me and the chairman changed his mind.
15	Q Did you reach out to Dr. Feldman or
16	anybody else to support you with respect to the
17	chairman's position?
18	A I don't remember.
19	Q What did you do when you first found
20	out that two of the three members had voted against
21	you and the third abstained?
22	A I was pretty annoyed.
23	Q What did you do about it?
24	A Nothing.
25	Q Did you talk to anybody?

Oh, I'm sure I did. 1 А Anybody in administration? 2 0 3 А I don't remember. I think I probably went and talked to the dean. Yeah, I think I did. 4 Do you remember who was the dean at 5 0 6 that time? 7 He's dead now. Lanzoni, Vincent Lanzoni. А 8 Q How much time went by from the time 9 that you were told that you did not make it until 10 the chairman changed his mind? 11 About two days. А 12 How was the working relationship with 0 these other members? Did you interface with them 13 14 after that? 15 Well, they had their project. Well, Mallams А was a clinician, and he was mad at everybody and 16 17 left very shortly after that. And Rao had his own 18 grant and he was working on that. And his interest 19 was in nuclear medicine and my interest was in 20 cancer biology. So our interests didn't intersect. 21 And up until the time you got tenure, Q 22 did you feel you were being discriminated against by 23 the university in any manner? 24 А No. Q In hindsight do you now? 25

```
No, other than, you know, the salary
1
     А
2
     business. I recognize looking back at the records
3
     and so forth that I probably was not being offered a
     competing salary. The theory is, and I'm sure you
4
     know it, is that women don't argue, they don't
5
6
     bargain.
7
                    That doesn't happen in my house.
             0
             It doesn't happen in your house? Well,
8
     А
9
     actually, it doesn't happen in mine, either.
10
                    (A discussion is held off the record.)
11
                    So from 1981 until you made tenure in
             0
12
      '83, you never voiced any concerns about the amount
     of your salary?
13
14
     А
             No.
15
                    You never felt that you were being
             0
     paid less than your male counterparts?
16
17
     А
            I didn't know.
18
                    Even given your experience at
             Q
19
     Colorado and Marshall and the work you did there to
20
     do comparative studies, did you not have inquiries
21
     as to UMDNJ?
22
            At the time that I came to UMDNJ, I just
     А
23
     wanted to do good work and I had enough of this
24
     political stuff. Put my nose to the grindstone and
25
     do some good science.
```

42

1	Q So it wasn't that you weren't aware
2	of it, you just
3	A I wasn't aware of it, but I didn't want to
4	get into it, either.
5	Q But certainly you came to UMDNJ with
6	an understanding that from your experience, women in
7	your field were being discriminated against in terms
8	of salary with respect to their male counterparts?
9	A That's correct.
10	MR. PINCUS: Objection, asked and
11	answered. You may answer.
12	A That's correct. In the global sense we're
13	talking about.
14	Q In your personal experience.
15	A Oh, okay.
16	Q At two universities prior to UMDNJ,
17	you not only were aware of it, but helped
18	investigate it.
19	A Yeah, right.
20	Q So in 2000 is the last time you
21	applied for a grant?
22	A Yes. Maybe not even that. I'm not sure
23	when I hung up my grant writing pen.
24	Q Dr. Hill, I'm going to give you a
25	copy of the complaint in this matter. It has

```
previously been marked Exhibit 2 at the deposition
1
2
     of Dr. Lenarczyk. Would you take a look at this,
3
     please?
                   (At this point in the proceedings,
4
            a brief recess is taken.)
5
              Dr. Hill, have you had an opportunity
6
            Q
7
     to look at the document that I gave you which has
     been previously marked Lenarczyk Exhibit 2?
8
9
     А
            I have.
10
              Do you recognize this document?
            Q
11
     А
            I do.
12
            0
                  What is this document?
            This is the complaint, my complaint.
13
     А
14
            Q
              This is a qui tam complaint filed by
15
     you; is that correct?
16
     A I believe so. What does it mean "Impounded"
17
     (indicating).
18
                   It just means when they take it in.
            Q
19
                   MR. PINCUS: It's filed under seal.
20
     А
            Because the document that I have doesn't
21
     have "Impounded" on it.
22
                   Do you understand when you filed this
            0
23
     it was filed under seal?
24
     А
        Okay.
25
            Q We'll back up. I'll explain the
```

```
different marks on it to you. But this complaint
1
2
     you filed against the university and against Dr.
3
     Howell and Dr. Bishayee individually?
             That's correct.
4
     А
                    And it's your contention that Dr.
5
             0
     Howell and Dr. Bishayee purposely defrauded the
6
     Federal Government?
7
                    MR. PINCUS: Objection to the form of
8
9
     the question. The complaint speaks for itself, but
     you may answer.
10
11
     А
             Yes.
12
             0
                    And that they perpetrated a fraud or
     engaged in conduct tantamount to scientific
13
14
     misconduct?
15
     А
            That's correct.
16
                   And when you drafted this complaint,
             Q
17
     did you know at the time it was going to be filed
18
     under seal?
19
     А
            I did.
20
                    MR. PINCUS: Objection to the form of
21
     the question. It presumes she drafted the
22
     complaint.
23
             0
                    When I say "you," I mean you or
24
     somebody that you paid to do it.
25
            Yes.
     А
```

```
And what was your understanding of
1
            0
2
     the significance that it be filed under seal?
3
     А
            That I wouldn't be allowed to talk about it.
              Did you also understand that the
4
            0
5
     individuals that you named wouldn't know about it,
6
     either?
7
     A I believe that they were told something
     about it, but not the details.
8
9
            Q Do you believe they were told you
     filed a complaint?
10
11
       I think so, yes.
     А
12
              Did you know that any information
            Q
     contained in this complaint would not be made
13
14
     available to the public?
15
     А
       I don't understand your question.
16
              Sure. When you filed this under
            Q
17
     seal, you had an understanding that nobody was going
18
     to be able to read the contents of this complaint?
19
          Right.
     А
20
            Q Until when? What were you waiting
21
     for, do you know?
22
     A The Attorney General had to release the
23
     seal.
24
            Q In fact, the Attorney General had to
25
     conduct --
```

The U.S. Attorney, not the Attorney General. 1 А 2 0 The U.S. Attorney had to conduct an 3 investigation and make a determination whether it was going to participate in this suit or not? 4 5 А Yes. 6 Q And you're aware they spent years 7 conducting that investigation? 8 А I really am. 9 0 And you know that they had FBI agents interview people? 10 11 А I do. 12 0 And that they have requested and reviewed voluminous amounts of information? 13 14 А I don't know the extent of what they've 15 reviewed, but I understand that they have reviewed a fair amount of information. 16 17 And you're also aware that at the Q 18 conclusion of all those efforts, they have elected 19 not to participate in this suit? 20 А I understand that there are three ways that 21 things can go. One is that the U.S. Attorney can 22 take the case; one is the U.S. Attorney can allow 23 the Relator to take the case, and the other is that 24 the U.S. Attorney can say that the case has no merit. The U.S. Attorney decided to let me, the 25

Relator, to continue with the case. 1 Q But they're not interested in 2 3 pursuing the case, you understand that to be the case? 4 MR. PINCUS: Objection to the form of 5 6 the question. It calls for speculation. You may 7 answer. The letter that we received from the U.S. 8 А 9 Attorney when they decided not to take the case stated that they could come back into the case any 10 11 time they wanted to. 12 0 But they're not prosecuting the case? Not at this time. 13 А 14 In fact, in order to prosecute the Q 15 case, that burden, both financially, time and energy 16 is on you? 17 That's correct. А 18 And you understand that they had the Q 19 election to come in and prosecute the case 20 themselves? 21 That's correct. А 22 Q And they elected not to do that? 23 А That's correct. 24 What conclusions, if any, do you draw Q from the fact that the U.S. Attorney decided not to 25

1 prosecute the case?

At just about the time that this case was 2 А 3 getting their interest and they finally got the documents that had been subpoenaed and so forth, the 4 \$80,000,000 cardiology case came in from UMDNJ. And 5 6 I believe that they felt that that was more bucks 7 and that they needed to devote their energies and 8 their personnel to that case, rather than to my 9 case. I think my case was triaged.

10 Q What do you mean by "triaged"? 11 A That the U.S. Attorney's office decided that 12 the other case was more important. And so my case 13 had enough merit for me the pursue it and that they 14 would let me do that.

15 Q You're not suggesting that you
16 believe that the U.S. Attorney only handles one case
17 at a time, are you?

18 A Oh, no, no. But they have to decide what 19 they're going to do, and they have emphasis on 20 different things and so form. They have to find 21 terrorists and so on. So there's only a certain 22 amount of things that they can cover.

23 Q So you interpret their lack of 24 participation not a reflection of what they believe 25 to be the merits or lack thereof of the case, but

just they had other cases they were more interested 1 2 in? 3 А Yes. And your basis for that is? 4 0 5 А What I said, that there were other, more 6 important cases that involved a whole lot more 7 money, like \$80,000,000, the cardiology case. What is the status of that case? 8 0 9 А I have no idea. How do you know that that case was 10 0 11 more important to them than this case? 12 Because \$800,000,00 is a whole lot more than А \$1,400,000, and many people involved. 13 14 Isn't it true that you're Q 15 speculating? You don't, in fact, know that that 16 case was more important to them than this case? 17 А That's true. 18 And isn't it also true that as far as 0 19 you know, that case could well have been concluded 20 years ago? 21 I do not believe that case has been А 22 concluded. The chairman of medicine, as far as I 23 know, is still not back at the medical school, and I 24 believe that case is still pending. I think that we would have been told, as members of the medical 25

1	school faculty, if the case had been concluded.
2	Q And you have from time to time
3	supplied additional documentation to the U.S.
4	Attorney, have you not?
5	THE WITNESS: Have I supplied
6	additional documentation to the U.S. Attorney?
7	MR. PINCUS: Do you want me to answer
8	this question? She's making an inquiry of me. I
9	can't really answer your question. If you know, you
10	can answer the question. If you don't know, that's
11	the response that you should give.
12	A I'm not really sure.
13	Q Do you have any recollection of
14	putting packets of materials together and mailing it
15	to anybody at the U.S. Attorney's office subsequent
16	to the filing of the complaint?
17	A I'm sure that we did.
18	Q Do you have a recollection as to how
19	many times you did?
20	A No.
21	Q Do you have a recollection whether it
22	was more or less than six times?
23	A No.
24	Q Do you have a recollection of what it
25	was that you sent them?

1 Α No. 2 0 You have no idea what you sent them? 3 Α No, I really don't remember. Do you remember the last time you 4 0 5 sent them something? 6 А No. 7 Do you remember the first time you 0 sent them something? 8 9 Well, I do remember that I sent memos, and I А sent a memo and a letter to the U.S. Attorney, that 10 11 I made a presentation to them, and that I followed 12 that up with a memo and a letter clarifying and 13 adding points to what I had said. And that would 14 have been, let's see, I think in 2006, when they 15 decided not to take the case. 16 So is it fair to say that once you Q 17 found out they decided not to take the case, you 18 undertook efforts to convince them to participate in 19 the case? 20 А Yes. 21 And the presentation you just Q 22 mentioned, can you tell me what that consists of? 23 А It was a PowerPoint presentation in which I 24 presented what at that time was the evidence that I had that I believed that there had been a fraud. 25

```
Where did you put on this PowerPoint
1
            Q
2
     presentation?
3
     А
            Actually, unbelievably, the U.S. Attorney's
     office didn't have Microsoft Office so that I could
4
     make a PowerPoint presentation. But I had printed
5
6
     it out and I had printed copies for the U.S.
7
     Attorney, herself, and for the FBI agent who was
8
     also printed, and for Mr. Pincus and myself. And I
9
     went through it as though I was presenting it as a
     PowerPoint on the screen.
10
11
            Q To whom did you make this
12
     presentation?
            To the U.S. Attorney and the FBI agent and
13
     А
14
     Mr. Pincus was there and I was there.
15
            Q And do you recall the U.S. Attorney's
16
     name?
17
            Another senior moment. Susan, her first
     А
18
     name is Susan.
19
            0
              Steel?
20
     А
            Steel, thank you.
21
              And how about the FBI agent?
            Q
22
            That was Mary Beth, Mary Beth Gardocki.
     А
23
            Q
                 How long did this presentation last?
24
            Oh, a couple of hours.
     А
            Q And the entire time the only people
25
```

```
in the room besides yourself were Susan Steel, Mary
 1
 2
     Beth Gardocki and Mr. Pincus?
 3
     А
           That's right.
            Q And you basically had printed a hard
 4
     copy of your PowerPoint presentation?
 5
 6
     А
          Right.
 7
           Q Provided each person a copy?
     A Right.
 8
          Q And walked them through it?
 9
          Right.
10
     А
11
              Explaining, I assume, each page and
           Q
     each item in detail.
12
13
    A Right.
14
           Q Did anybody ask questions during the
15
     presentation?
     A I don't recall.
16
17
           Q Do you recall when the presentation
18
     occurred?
19
     A I have the date in my notes, but I don't
20
   have it in my head.
21
                  MR. LEONARD: Shelly, to the extent
    that you have that date, could you just provide it
22
23
    to me?
24
                  MR. PINCUS: After we get done doing
```

25 follow-up requests in a letter, I'll look back in my

```
records, and I'm fairly certain I can provide you
1
     the date that occurred.
2
                    MR. LEONARD: Okay.
3
                    What do you recall Susan Steel saying
4
             0
     upon the conclusion of the presentation?
5
6
     А
             I don't recall.
7
                    Did the presentation include
             0
8
     information that you believe the U.S. Attorney did
9
     not have previously?
10
     А
             Yes.
11
                    What new information do you believe
             Ο
12
     you were bringing to present them?
             That summer I spent a great deal of time in
13
     А
14
     the FBI offices and the Office of the U.S. Attorney
15
     going through the documents that had been
16
     subpoenaed. And I discovered at that time that the
17
     results of experiments that had been done, the
18
     repeat experiments that had been done by Dr. Howell,
19
     which were entirely at odds with reports that had
20
     been made, experiments that had been done by Dr.
21
     Bishayee; that Bishayee's results were
22
     scientifically impossible, and that there was a very
23
     good scientific explanation for the results that Dr.
24
     Howell and Dr. Lenarczyk had gotten.
25
                    How much time did you spend reviewing
             Q
```

1 these documents?

A A lot of time. I went down probably -- I could keep a record. I don't know whether I kept a written record of the number of times I went to the FBI office. Probably five sessions in the FBI office. But then more like 10 or 12 sessions in the U.S. Attorney's Office, and I would spend maybe two or three hours each time.

9 Q So collectively, 17 sessions each of 10 two or three hours duration?

11 A Yes, right, 30 or 40 hours probably.

12 Were you provided a desk or access to 0 documents? What would you do during these session? 13 14 Well, with the FBI, Mary Beth Gardocki had Δ 15 to watch me very carefully. So she was always with 16 me. I couldn't even go to the ladies' room by 17 myself. When I was in the U.S. Attorneys Office 18 they gave me a conference room, and I was able to go 19 to the ladies' room by myself.

20 Q What exactly were you looking for? 21 Did you know what you were looking for when you went 22 there?

A I knew that Howell had not been able to
repeat the experiments. I also had a zip drive from
Lenarczyk, and I knew I had seen his experiments.

There are two types of an experiment, 1 2 there's the 50 percent experiment and there's the 3 100 percent experiment. I had only focused on the 50 percent experiment because that involved this 4 so-called "bystander effect." 5 6 Well, why was I doing it? They asked me to 7 do it, that's why I was doing it. 8 0 Who asked you to do it? 9 А The U.S. Attorney and the FBI, they asked me 10 to go through the documents. There were 11 books 11 that they had subpoenaed. The first time they 12 called me in they asked me just to prioritize the documents. They hardly told me what was in any of 13 14 them, but I prioritized them as best I could. And 15 then there was one box, Box 6, which really seemed 16 to contain the copies of most of the notebooks that 17 would have been important. And they asked me to go 18 through them. 19 Okav. 0 20 А And so I did. 21 I think my question, though, was what Q 22 you were looking for when you went there? 23 А Well, that's what I'm saying, is that I 24 didn't really know what I was looking for. They asked me to go through the documents, and at their 25

1 request, I was going through the documents. And 2 basically what I thought that I was looking for was 3 the actual data that had been generated by Bishayee. I already knew from the ORI that the Coulter 4 counter, this particle counter that was used to 5 6 count the cells, were -- how shall I say -- out of 7 whack. Bishayee Coulter counts were not consistent 8 with random distribution, which they should have 9 been. So I thought I was looking for more evidence 10 of Coulter counts being not consistent with random. 11 So I was focusing on the Coulters, but I also looked 12 at the data, as well.

And actually, I was coming to the end of looking through this Box Number 6, and I came upon the repeat experiments that had been done by Howell. And I had not looked at the 100 percent experiments that Lenarczyk had sent me, because I had not suspected that there was anything wrong with Bishayee's 100 percent experiments.

20 But what I saw, I came upon the stuff from 21 Howell's notebook, and there were two experiments 22 there that were 100 percent experiments. And I went 23 through the first one and I saw that the data went 24 down like that and then plateaued. I know you can't 25 draw that on your transcript.

58

MR. LEONARD: Why don't you provide 1 Dr. Hill a piece of paper and she can draw it and 2 we'll mark it as an exhibit. 3 All right. So in the papers and in the 4 А grant application and so forth, this would be 5 6 3HdThd, which is an abbreviation for tritiated 7 thymidine. So this is your "X" axis, this is tritiated thymidine, it's a dose. This is the 8 9 survival. We call it is S divided by SO 10 (indicating). 11 Bishayee's results were an exponential 12 decline like that. This is 100 percent experiment 13 (indicating). 14 Do you want to use two different 0 15 colors? That will help you out. 16 MR. PINCUS: Why don't you leave 17 Bishayee's in red, like you did, and now continue in 18 black. 19 THE WITNESS: I'm going to do Howell 20 in black. 21 MR. PINCUS: Let the record reflect 22 that Bishayee's results were drawn in red. 23 А Howell's results went like that. The number 24 here is 1.0, this is .5, let's say, this is .1, this is .01. Actually, his results go down to .001 25

```
(indicating). So there was a many-fold difference
 1
 2
     in survival between Bishayee's results and Howell's
 3
     results in this 100 percent experiment.
            Q In these documents that you were
 4
 5
     looking at?
 6
     А
        In these documents that I was looking at.
 7
            Q Just so we're clear, you had no
     firsthand knowledge of these experiments?
 8
 9
     A No.
            Q You're just looking at copied pages
10
11
     contained in a box?
12
     А
          Right.
            Q Dr. Hill, can I have that piece of
13
14
     paper? We're just going to have it marked as an
15
     exhibit.
16
                   MR. LEONARD: Would you mark this as
17
     Hill-1, please?
18
                   THE WITNESS: Well, why don't I
19
    identify them.
20
                   MR. LEONARD: Sure.
21
                   THE WITNESS: We'll do this
     scientifically correctly. This is Howell and this
22
23
     is Bishayee (indicating).
24
            Q And this is for 100 percent
     experiments?
25
```

100 percent experiments. I was stunned. 1 А 2 0 You were stunned when you saw that? 3 Α I was stunned. And I lay awake at night. Because I thought this was a 50 percent experiment. 4 And I had go back through and I had to look at the 5 6 beginning, and it wasn't a 50 percent experiment, it 7 was a 100 percent experiment. And I had believed Bishayee's 100 percent survival, the exponential 8 9 decline. And now I'm looking at Howell's 100 10 percent results and it's plateauing at 50 percent 11 and I couldn't believe it. And I lay awake at night 12 thinking what's going on, what's going on.

And then I'm a biochemist, I'm a radiation biologist, and I know that thymidine blocks the cell cycle. And the explanation for Howell's results is that the tritiated thymidine was blocking the cell cycle. Cells are only going to be killed if they go into the phase of DNA synthesis.

And I realized that the explanation for Howell's results were that half the cells in the population were not going into DNA synthesis, they were being blocked. And they had to have been blocked by the tritiated thymidine. So I knew then that that was what the explanation was, and that Bishayee's results were impossible. And that's what

61

I told the U.S. Attorney. 1 2 (Graph drawn by Dr. Hill is received 3 and marked Hill-1 for identification by the Reporter.) 4 Just so we're clear, you didn't do 5 0 6 any experiments yourself or speak to anybody who conducted these experiments? 7 No. 8 А 9 0 So the conclusions you just stated is what you speculate based on the documents you 10 11 reviewed. 12 MR. PINCUS: Objection to the form of the question. You may answer. 13 14 А That's correct. 15 0 You can't sit here under oath and testify that that's absolutely what occurred. 16 17 MR. PINCUS: Objection to the form of 18 the question. You may answer. 19 You know, I'm a scientist. And one of the А 20 things that we have to do is interpret data. And 21 nothing in biochemistry or radiation biology is 100 22 percent, but it can be very close to 100 percent. 23 And I can tell you as we're sitting here that this 24 is very, very close to 100 percent that that is what 25 is going on; that the thymidine, the tritiated

thymidine was blocking the cell cycle, cells are not 1 2 entering "S" phase, they're not being killed, and 3 that explains Howell's results. And if Bishayee is doing his experiments in 4 5 exactly the same way that Howell is, which he is, 6 because the protocols are exactly the same, that 7 Bishayee could not be getting the results that he was getting. 8 9 Again, that's your speculation. 0 10 That is speculation, that is correct. А 11 And you can't sit here today and Q 12 testify that that is, in fact, what occurred. No, I can't. 13 А 14 You said that you were very concerned Q 15 when you came across these documents; is that correct? I'm sorry, you're nodding your head. She 16 17 can't record that. 18 Well, I haven't answered your question yet. А 19 You were very concerned when you came 0 20 across these notebooks? 21 Yes. А 22 And that concern was borne out of 0 23 something you weren't even looking for? 24 А Yes. Q And that concern arose while you were 25

looking at documents at either the FBI's office or 1 2 the U.S. Attorney's office. 3 А Yes. And that, obviously, was subsequent 4 0 to the filing of the complaint. 5 6 А That's correct. 7 So I guess, just so I understand, if 0 8 this is all your concern, what prompted everything 9 up to the point of your discovering this? 10 А Everything began in 2000 -- well, it really 11 began in 1999, but it kind of really began in 2001, 12 when Dr. Lenarczyk came to my office and tried to get me to go into the lab to see what Dr. Bishayee 13 14 had been doing in the course of the experiment that 15 he was doing. And we shadowed him. We've gone through 16 17 this in other depositions. And we believed that he 18 was fabricating the results of his experiment. 19 And then in 1999, which was two years before 20 this, looking back -- well, the 2001 experiment 21 brought me to tell Dr. Howell about that, and you 22 have the documents that were involved in that, and 23 to go to the Campus Committee on Research Integrity 24 to report those results. The 1999 experiment was another experiment in which I had observed that 25

1 Bishayee was making up results in another

2 experiment.

3 So two observations in which Bishayee was 4 coming up with results that were incompatible with 5 my observations.

6 So the Campus Committee on Research 7 Integrity looked into mostly the second experiment. 8 But then I told them about the first experiment, and 9 they looked into that somewhat, as well. And they 10 came up with the conclusion that there was not 11 enough data there to rule on research misconduct.

12 Well, at the time that this all occurred, 13 the university policy said that the Committee on 14 Research Integrity was supposed to determine whether 15 there was some indication of research misconduct. 16 And then a new committee should have been formed 17 which would have included outside members and 18 experts in the field.

19 The Committee on Research Integrity had no 20 experts in the field, and actually was consisted of 21 deans and people whose job it was to protect the 22 university. So to my mind, the committee was not 23 properly constituted. And then rather than 24 referring the case to a second committee, which 25 would have had some experts, they decided that there

65

wasn't enough evidence for research misconduct. So
 they kicked it out, basically.

And so I went to the Office of Research Integrity at the U.S. Public Health Service, and I sent everything that I had given the Research Integrity Committee at the university, I sent them everything. And then they took another year to go through all of that.

9 But in the meanwhile I talked with Dr. 10 Field, who was in charge of the case, and she told 11 me about the Coulter counts. Actually, she kind of 12 told me at the very last minute before her committee 13 was going to meet. And then I went and got some 14 more Coulter counts and sent them to her. These are 15 all in the disclosure or in the documents that 16 accompanied the disclosure. And found that there 17 was even more evidence of nonrandomness of 18 Bishayee's numbers.

So I thought when I was in the U.S.
Attorney's Office that what I was looking for was
more evidence of Coulter counts that were amiss, and
I found this other thing. But I also found, because
what I was looking for, and already had the results
of Lenarczyk's 50 percent experiments, that the
50 percent experiments look almost like that

(indicating). Again, Bishayee has got this 1 exponential decline in survival, and Howell and 2 3 Lenarczyk - you could put Lenarczyk here as well -Howell and Lenarczyk, they're results plateau. 4 Actually, in the 50 percent experiments, they 5 6 plateau at about .7, or 70 percent. 7 So getting back to our original train 0 8 of thought, nothing regarding what you found in 9 Box 6 forms the basis of anything that was in this 10 complaint. 11 That's correct. I didn't see Box 6 until А 12 after the complaint. And we'll go through each of these 13 0 14 committee reviews and ORI and so forth and talk 15 about it in a little more detail, but I just want to 16 be very clear about something. You said Bishayee 17 fabricated data. As you sit here today under oath, 18 you can't state that you know for certain that 19 Bishayee fabricated data, can you? 20 А No, I can't. We're at the 99 percent level 21 here. 22 I'm sorry? 0 23 Α We're at the 99 percent level here. 24 We're at the 99 percent level? Q That I can't say for sure, but I am very 25 А

convinced that he did. 1 2 But you can't testify under oath that 0 he did? 3 4 А No. And you have no firsthand knowledge 5 0 6 that he did? 7 I have the firsthand knowledge of that first А

experiment in 1999 that I observed with a set of 8 9 dishes that had no colonies on them, I have that in 10 my head. I know that those dishes were empty. And 11 I have the observations that Lenarczyk and I made of 12 the experiment in 2001 where tubes were left in the cold incubator that should have been processed and 13 14 were not.

15 But my point is, firsthand knowledge 0 being you've never seen him fabricate data. 16 17 I never actually saw him take the pipettes А

18 and take the cells and take the tubes, no. I just 19 followed his trail.

20 0 Dr. Hill, I'd like to direct your 21 attention to the complaint and Page 6. Now, this 22 complaint was filed in 2003; is that correct? 23 А I think so, yes. I can't read the date, but 24 I would say yes.

25 Q The last sentence on Page 6.

1 A Okay.

2 Q It reads, "It is, therefore, critical 3 that patients not be misled about the results of the 4 research." Now we're six years beyond the date that 5 this was filed. Can you identify any patients that 6 have been misled?

Dr. Howell's experiments and research 7 А 8 involves setting standards for nuclear medicine. 9 Nuclear medicine uses radioactive tracers to both treat cancer and to detect cancer. And Dr. Howell's 10 11 premise is that there's a non-random distribution of 12 radiation. So that the isotopes go to different 13 parts of the body, and some parts are irradiated 14 heavy and some parts of the body are not irradiated 15 heavily. This is very important research, and it's 16 very important to understand the kinds of doses 17 patients are receiving.

18 In radiology we deal with very large 19 numbers. Like, for example, a chest x-ray. Does a 20 chest x-ray cause cancer? Yes. But if you went for 21 a chest x-ray today, would that cause cancer? I 22 couldn't say that it caused cancer. And even if you 23 got lung cancer, I couldn't say that it caused your 24 lung cancer. But I can say that I can make a calculation over the population, say 200,000,000 25

69

people in the United States, and maybe how many of 1 2 them are getting chest x-rays every year, maybe a 3 million, I can tell you, and I've done this calculation, I'm telling this off the top of my 4 head, so I don't know whether this is actually true 5 6 or not, but I could tell you that of all those chest 7 x-rays, those million chest x-rays, let's say, that 8 are being given in the United States in 2008, that 9 perhaps one or two people will get lung cancer 10 resulting from the radiation exposure that they have 11 gotten from those chest x-rays. Now, I can't tell 12 you who, but I can tell you that statistically a 13 chest x-ray, if you integrate over a large 14 population of people, will cause cancer.

15 Q Okay. And I don't mean to be flip.
16 So your answer is you don't know any patients that
17 have been misled?

18 A No. But it's very important for people who 19 are working in nuclear medicine to understand the 20 mechanism of the isotopes that they're using, and 21 also to understand what safe doses are. And Howell 22 is also involved in determining what the safe doses 23 are.

24 Q You're not suggesting that the dose 25 that patients are actually receiving are predicated

70

```
on the paper that you and Dr. Howell co-authored?
1
2
     А
            No.
3
                    So that paper is not being used or
             Q
      relied on or in any manner have any effect, and,
4
     again, the paper that you and Dr. Howell
5
6
     co-authored, on the actual treatment of patients,
7
     you're not suggesting that it is?
8
     А
            No.
9
             0
                    I'd like to direct your attention to
10
     Paragraph 19.
11
            But let me say this, that the NIH --
     А
12
                    MR. LEONARD: There's no question
13
     pending, Dr. Hill.
14
                   MR. PINCUS: Hold on.
15
                   MR. LEONARD: Shelly, please.
16
                    MR. PINCUS: No, no, no, hold on
17
     a second. She paused and wanted to finish her
18
     answer, and I ask that you permit her to do so.
19
                    MR. LEONARD: Okay. I will say this,
20
     though. Dr. Hill, you seem like a very nice person,
21
     and I'm indulging your responses, sometimes for five
22
     or ten minutes. Typically I would ask a question
23
     and you would answer it and not go on a diatribe.
24
     So I'm trying to sort of reign that in a little bit.
25
     Had you finished your answer?
```

THE WITNESS: No, I hadn't. 1 What else would you like to say? 2 0 3 Α That the NIH gave Dr. Howell his grant, they renewed his grant because they considered that the 4 research that he was doing was critically important. 5 6 The NIH doesn't give grants to just anybody. The 7 competition is very stiff, and there has to be a good reason. And this grant is coming from the 8 9 National Cancer Institute, so the National Cancer 10 institute feels that this research is important 11 enough to support it. 12 0 Just by way of illustration, if I 13 asked you a question about whether or not it's 14 important research, that would be a very responsive 15 research. But when I say to you is the paper that 16 you and Dr. Howell co-authored being relied on to 17 set doses for patient care, the answer to that is 18 And frankly, what you just said isn't no. 19 responsive or doesn't relate to that at all. I 20 guess that's the kind of point I'm trying to make 21 here.

22 A Okay.

Q In Paragraph 19 of the complaint on
Page 7 -- I'm sorry, in Paragraph 20 it talks about
you having a conversation with Dr. Howell.

72

1	A Okay.
2	Q Do you remember exactly what you said
3	to Dr. Howell about Dr. Bishayee? I didn't know if
4	you recalled the specific conversation is all.
5	A Well, the first experiment, in the 1999
6	experiment, I informed Howell about it, and he
7	brushed it off. And he used the results of the
8	Bishayee experiment that I believed had been
9	fabricated in his grant application.
10	Q Paragraph 24 says, "As a result of
11	their actions, Hill and Lenarczyk concluded that
12	Bishayee had, in fact, fabricated the experiment's
13	data and engaged in scientific fraud." Now, you
14	were in attendance at Dr. Lenarczyk's deposition,
15	were you not?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And you heard him testify under oath
18	that, in fact, he did not conclude those things?
19	A I did hear that.
20	Q And did you also hear him say that
21	prior to filing this complaint, you never provided
22	him a draft?
23	A That's correct.
24	Q Can I ask why, if you were going to

make representations regarding what a colleague 25

73

thought, you wouldn't provide that colleague with a 1 draft to comment upon it? 2 3 MR. PINCUS: Objection to the extent that that calls for disclosures of attorney work 4 product or strategy. But to the extent that you can 5 6 answer, you can answer. 7 I can't answer that question. А You don't know why you wouldn't show 8 0 9 it to Dr. Lenarczyk? It didn't occur to me to show it to him. 10 А Ι 11 did talk with him on the telephone, I believe. But 12 it didn't occur to me to do that. 13 Even though you were going to file a 0 14 complaint in Federal Court against a major 15 university and make representations as to what he 16 concluded about colleagues in his field, you didn't 17 think that you should show him the document to see 18 if he would agree to the statements you were 19 attributing to him? 20 А Now that you say that, I think I probably 21 should have, but I didn't. 22 Paragraph 26 says, "After the 0 23 Committee's report, Howell proceeded to terminate 24 the employment of both Bishayee and Lenarczyk." 25 What is the basis for that statement?

74

I was told by Bishayee that Howell had 1 А 2 written a memo, which he told Bishayee to sign, in 3 which was written, "I resign my position as," whatever his position was, and he signed it. 4 You were at the deposition of Dr. 5 0 Bishayee, correct? 6 7 А Uh-huh. Q And you heard him testify that, in 8 9 fact, Dr. Howell did not terminate him; is that correct? 10 11 А He testified that Dr. Howell wrote that 12 memo. But he testified that Dr. Howell did 13 0 not terminate him; isn't that correct? 14 15 MR. PINCUS: Objection to the form of the question. His deposition speaks for itself. 16 17 You may answer. 18 If that's what the deposition said, I guess A 19 so. 20 Q Well, you were there. Did Dr. 21 Bishayee say that he was fired? A He wasn't fired and I never said he was 22 23 fired. 24 Q You said he was terminated? 25 A Terminated.

How do you define "terminated"? 1 0 2 He was terminated. You can look at the А 3 personnel action form. He was terminated, because according to the personnel action form he resigned. 4 That's a termination. The form is called a 5 termination. 6 7 And that's the impression you're 0 trying to give in this document when you say, "After 8 9 the Committee's report, Howell proceeded to terminate the employment of both Bishayee and 10 11 Lenarczyk"? What you're trying to convey there is 12 that he resigned? MR. PINCUS: Objection to the form of 13 14 the question. You may answer. 15 0 What impression are you trying to give by the first sentence of Paragraph 26? 16 17 А That Howell encouraged the termination of 18 both of these people. 19 That's not what that says. 0 20 MR. PINCUS: Objection to the form of 21 the question. You may answer. Is it? 22 0 23 А I don't know how to answer these questions. 24 Well, you just said Bishayee Q resigned, correct? 25

```
A He resigned.
1
2
           Q Dr. Howell can't resign for him,
3
     correct?
           Dr. Howell wrote the memo.
4
     А
              It doesn't matter. He can't resign
5
            0
     for him; isn't that correct?
6
7
     A I quess not.
8
           Q And if Dr. Bishayee resigned, then
9
     Dr. Howell couldn't have terminated him; isn't that
     also correct?
10
11
          Okay, I guess that's correct.
     А
12
           Q And Dr. Lenarczyk, you read his
     deposition?
13
14
     A Yes.
15
            Q And Dr. Lenarczyk testified under
   oath that Dr. Howell didn't terminate him, either;
16
17
    is that correct?
18
     А
          That's correct.
19
            Q So that statement in your complaint
20
   is false.
21
                  MR. PINCUS: Objection, calls for a
22
   legal conclusion. You may answer.
23
           Q Isn't that correct?
24
     A I guess you'd have to say that.
25
           Q It says, Dr. Howell, the next
```

sentence, engaged in retaliatory acts against you.
 Can you tell me, and this time please do elaborate,
 every retaliatory act that Dr. Howell committed
 against you?

5 A We had a laboratory that we all shared. 6 After the committee had finished its work, my 7 chairman chose to make Howell the chief of the 8 division. And Howell, in his wisdom, decided to 9 change the locks, so that I was no longer able to 10 get into the shared laboratory.

11 Q Okay.

12 Howell told me to my face, even though he А 13 had just been appointed the division chief, that he 14 wanted to have nothing more to do with me. And 15 Howell has not spoken to me, except in public, since 16 that time. He's my division chief. He has not 17 spoken to me, except in public, since that time. He 18 has shunned me for how many years? 2001 to 2009, 19 going on eight years.

20 Q By "shunned," you mean he's withheld 21 his friendship, he has nothing to do with you? 22 A Divisions are supposed to have meetings. I 23 have never been invited to a division meeting in the 24 eight years since this happened. This is supposed 25 to be a collegial organization. There is absolutely

1 nothing collegial about the way I have been treated 2 since then. 3 Q When you say "since then," since when? 4 5 А Since 2001, when he called me into his 6 office and told me that he wanted to have nothing further to do with me. 7 8 0 Anything else? 9 He reassigned the laboratory space so that a А 10 laboratory that had been mine resulted in being 11 shared with Dr. Azzam. And then Dr. Azzam, in his 12 wisdom, started moving my stuff out and putting it 13 elsewhere and not telling me where it was. 14 Anything else? Q 15 Well, Dr. Azzam has not over the years А treated me with -- after Howell said he wanted 16 17 nothing more to do with me, I told Azzam that if he 18 wanted me to, I would do experiments for him, and I 19 did. Then in April of 2002 he came into my office 20 and he insulted me and he told me that I was a bad 21 person, because if Dr. Howell's postdocs found out 22 that Dr. Howell had done a bad thing, or if Dr. 23 Howell were to lose his grant - they were afraid 24 that Dr. Howell would lose his grant because of the misconduct - that if Dr. Howell lost his grant, that 25

79

1	the postdocs would lose their jobs, and that would
2	be my responsibility, because I would have forced
3	the postdocs to lose their jobs. If Dr. Howell's
4	children found that Dr. Howell had done a bad thing,
5	that would be a terrible thing for his children.
6	And so I was a bad person. And after that, then I
7	couldn't work with Dr. Azzam anymore.
8	Q When was that?
9	A That was in April of 2002.
10	Q You understand there's a difference
11	between two people having a conflict and somebody
12	being retaliated against, do you not?
13	MR. PINCUS: Objection to the form of
14	the question. You may answer.
15	A Retaliation is something that makes a person
16	feel very uncomfortable, and I feel very
17	uncomfortable with those two guys.
18	Q Well, it's more than that.
19	Retaliation is action taken in response to
20	something.
21	MR. PINCUS: Objection to the form of
22	the question, again, but you may answer.
23	A The action taken in response to me was taken
24	by Howell by locking me out of the lab.
25	Q By Azzam?

By Azzam, by blowing up at me and calling me 1 А 2 names.

3 Q Why didn't you name Dr. Azzam in your complaint? 4

We had considered it, but his involvement 5 А 6 was not -- he was not aware and didn't use the 7 results of Bishayee. He was not Bishayee's boss or anything like that. His role was peripheral. 8

9 0 When you say "his role," you understand that your complaint has multiple 10 11 complaints in it. And one of the counts you have it 12 is for retaliation, which is separate and apart from any scientific misconduct. And what you seem to be 13 14 telling me is that Dr. Azzam threatened you and did 15 all kinds of things to you.

16 Well, he called me names and made me feel А 17 very uncomfortable, such that I no longer worked 18 with him.

19 Did Dr. Howell ever call you names? 0 20 А He's told me that he didn't want anything 21 more to do with me.

22 Q But did he call you any names?

23 Α Not to my knowledge.

24 Did he threaten you? Q

I think when your division chief tells you 25 А

```
that he doesn't want anything more to do with you,
1
2
     that puts you in a rather uncomfortable position.
3
            Q But do you consider that to be a
     threat?
4
5
     А
          No.
6
           Q How long were you locked out of the
7
     lab?
     A Several days. Maybe a couple of weeks. But
8
9
     then I went to the chairman.
           Q Who's the chairman?
10
11
       Stephen Baker.
     А
12
            Q
              And your attorney deposed Dr. Baker;
     isn't that true?
13
14
     A Yes.
15
           Q And you attended that deposition?
       Yes, right.
16
     А
17
            Q Isn't it also true that in some of
18
     the documents you created that you allege that Dr.
19
     Baker, as well, has retaliated against you?
20
     A Yes, he did.
21
          Q How did Dr. Baker retaliate against
22
     you?
23
     A As soon as the committee had finished their
24
     deliberations and sent out the memo that there
    wasn't enough evidence for scientific misconduct, I
25
```

1	was chief of the section of cancer biology, and
2	Baker abolished the section and made Howell my
3	division chief, and sent a memo to the whole
4	department inviting Howell to be the division chief.
5	And I believe that that was a slap in the face for
6	me, because he had never sent a memo about
7	appointing any other division chief. And division
8	chiefs come and go, and never had there been a
9	blanket memo like that about appointing someone as a
10	division chief. I think he did that to humiliate
11	me.
12	Q Why? Why would Dr. Baker want to
13	humiliate you?
14	A Why would he want to humiliate me? Because
15	I made the department look back. He even said that.
16	When I went down to report to him about the results
17	of our observations in March of 2001, I took the
18	documents that I had assembled to Dr. Baker, and Dr.
19	Baker scolded me for making the department look bad.
20	Q Do you recall what he said to you
21	specifically?
22	A He said, "You made the department look bad.
23	It's all over the medical school," he said.
24	Q How many people were in the cancer
25	biology section that you just referred to?

At that time there was just me. 1 A 2 Q So when you say you were the head of the section --3 I was it. А 4 Q -- you were it? 5 6 А Yes. 7 Any reason Dr. Baker is not named the 0 retaliation count of your complaint? 8 9 MR. PINCUS: Again, it calls for disclosure of strategy. You may answer. 10 11 А I don't think that his involvement was that 12 great. Didn't you just say he humiliated 13 Q 14 you? 15 A He did humiliate me, yes. 16 Q Did Dr. Howell ever humiliate you? 17 А Yes. 18 0 How? 19 By telling me that he didn't want to have А 20 anything more to do with me. 21 You felt humiliated by that? Q 22 А Yes. My office was moved about two years 23 after that, and I'm in a different hallway, in the 24 "F" level. But I have to get my mail from the 25 laboratory that Dr. Azzam and I are supposedly

1	sharing. I find it's very humiliating to have to go
2	over there and get my mail. I find I feel very
3	humiliated when I am confronted with those people,
4	that they have treated me the way they have treated
5	me; that they have shunned me for all these years.
6	I feel very uncomfortable in that whole environment.
7	Q Do you feel any responsibility for
8	that environment?
9	A When I blew the whistle, I was following the
10	instructions of the university. We have received
11	memos telling us if we observed
12	Q That's not my question, Dr. Hill.
13	MR. PINCUS: She's answering your
14	question. Let her response. And if you have a
15	follow up, do so.
16	Q Okay.
17	A I was following the guidelines of the
18	university. We were told if we observed misconduct
19	we were supposed to report it. I did report it.
20	And we actually had a card that we were supposed to
21	wear along with our I.D. that basically told us that
22	we had to report these things to our supervisor. If
23	we observed misconduct, we were supposed to report
24	it to our supervisor. I was following the rules of
25	the university when I did what I did.

```
I'm talking now about what you refer
 1
            0
     to as shunning. Do you feel any responsibility in
 2
 3
     that regard?
           Do I feel any responsibility for my being
 4
     А
     shunned? I don't think that a person should be
 5
 6
     shunned because they were doing what the university
 7
     instructed them to do, because they were doing what
     was right, and that was to report that misconduct
 8
 9
     had occurred.
10
            Q This conversation with Dr. Howell,
11
     when he said he wanted nothing more to do with you,
12
     is that what he said?
           That's what he said.
13
     А
14
            Q Are those his exact words?
15
     А
           Those are his exact words.
16
            Q Was there anyone else there when he
17
     said that?
18
     А
           No.
19
              Where did that conversation take
            0
20
     place?
21
       In his office.
     А
22
            Q Why were you in his office?
23
     Α
        Because he called me in.
24
          Q What else did he say to you?
           That was about it. Oh, he told me that
25
     А
```

Bishayee was leaving at the end of the month and 1 that Lenarczyk was leaving at the end of the month. 2 3 Q Is there anybody else that retaliated against you? 4 5 А No. 6 Q There any other instances of 7 retaliation that you can recall that you haven't testified to already? 8 9 А No. 10 So we have covered everything in 0 11 terms of the conduct that you allege constitutes 12 retaliation? I believe so, but I may think of things 13 А 14 later, but I believe that we have covered them. 15 MR. LEONARD: Why don't we mark this Hill-2. Shelly, there's an exhibit number that's on 16 17 there. Frankly, I don't know what it's from. 18 (Document entitled "Scientific 19 Misconduct" written by Dr. Hill is received 20 and marked Hill-2 for identification by the 21 Reporter.) 22 Dr. Hill, I'm going to hand you a 0 23 document that we have marked Hill-2. It's Bates 24 numbered 000345, and ask you to take a look at that. 25 MR. PINCUS: It's really 345 through

87

```
350. But we're looking at 345 now; is that correct,
 1
 2
     John?
                  MR. LEONARD: That is.
 3
       Okay.
 4
     А
            Q Do you recognize that document?
 5
           Yes, I do.
 6
     А
 7
           Q Did you author that document?
       Yes, I did.
 8
     А
 9
           Q When did you author this document?
           I met with Dr. Putterman on October 24th,
10
     А
11
     2002, and I prepared this document for Dr.
12
    Putterman.
           Q Let's look at the first full
13
14
     paragraph.
15
     A Okay.
16
           Q Just give me one second, I apologize.
17
     А
           I see I spelled Field's name wrong, she
18
     doesn't have a "s."
19
           Q I won't tell her if you don't.
20
     A Okay.
21
            Q I'm looking at Page 5, Bates number
     349 down in the corner.
22
23
     A Okay.
24
            Q The first full paragraph, the third
    sentence, where it says, "Since my return from the
25
```

1	meeting at the end of April, Dr. de Toledo has
2	hardly spoken to me. Isolation is greater than
3	ever. I realize that this is partly my fault. I
4	cannot bring myself to have anything to do with
5	people who knowingly cover up misconduct." Does
6	that refresh your recollection of whether you have
7	some responsibility in what you refer to as this
8	shunning?
9	A I guess it does.
10	Q Okay, thank you.
11	In that same document, Dr. Hill, I'd like to
12	refer you to Page 2, Bates number 346, and the third
13	full paragraph from the top that starts with, "I
14	understand that Dr. Howell has said" Do you see
15	that paragraph?
16	A Yes.
17	Q "I understand that Dr. Howell has
18	said that he believes that the cells changed over
19	the time since the original experiments were done,
20	or that the bystander effect that he purported to
21	have observed was dependent on serum which is a
22	component of the growth medium. These are possible
23	but unlikely explanations for the
24	non-reproducibility of the data." Do you recall
25	writing that?

1 A I guess I do.

T	A I guess I do.
2	Q So as you sit here today and under
3	oath, you can't say that Dr. Howell is wrong about
4	his explanations, can you?
5	A I have further information as a result of
6	documents that we have received. And Dr. Howell
7	states that he got the serum that Bishayee had used
8	in his experiments, and that he used that same serum
9	and he was still unable to replicate Bishayee's
10	results. So the serum is not an answer to his
11	non-reproducibility.
12	Q With all of this extra material that
13	you've looked at, can you say under oath today that
14	you can as a matter of fact rule out serum?
15	A You're asking me a question that as a
16	scientist, I have to answer that I cannot absolutely
17	rule out serum. But as a scientist, I can tell you
18	that it is highly, highly, highly unlikely that
19	serum would have any effect on these results.
20	Q But you can't rule it out; is that
21	correct?
22	A I can't rule it out.
23	Q Thank you.
24	In that same paragraph it says that Dr.
25	Howell believes the cells changed over time. Can

1 you rule that out?

A I can come very close to ruling that out, because Dr. Howell said that they lost their liquid nitrogen freezer, so they had to go back to freeze-downs from 2,000. And that means that they were actually going back to using cells that were closely related to the cells that Bishayee had used.

8 Q Dr. Hill, my question is: As you sit 9 here today under oath, can you say definitively that 10 Dr. Howell was wrong that the cells changed over 11 time and that may have had an effect?

12 A As a scientist, I have to say that I can't 13 say definitively. But I can say to you as we sit 14 here today that it is highly, highly, highly 15 unlikely that the inability of Howell to replicate 16 these results is due to the cells having changed.

17 And furthermore, I can tell you, that the 18 biochemistry, the biochemical explanation for the 19 shape of Howell's curve is a universal effect; that 20 the thymidine is blocking the cell cycle because 21 it's blocking certain enzymes, and those enzymes are 22 present in organisms from bacteria to elephants. 23 Biochemistry has a unity. When you're breathing, 24 your oxygen is going through something called the Kreb cycle. The Kreb cycle is present in organisms, 25

91

from the very earliest organisms to elephants. 1 2 Thank you, Dr. Hill. But the fact 0 3 remains that you can't say that cell changes were not a factor; isn't that true? 4 It's the same sort of thing. I can tell you 5 А 6 that it's highly, highly, highly unlikely that the 7 cells have changed. 8 Q But you can't say that it was not a 9 factor? 10 MR. PINCUS: Objection, asked and 11 answered. You may answer. 12 MR. LEONARD: No, it hasn't been. I can't say, but --13 А 14 Q So the answer is no, you can't say? 15 MR. PINCUS: You can finish your 16 answer. 17 A Your legal way of saying things is so 18 unscientific. 19 I just want a yes or no. As you sit 0 20 here today under oath, can you say as a matter of 21 fact that the cell changes were not a factor? 22 A I cannot say that. 23 Q Okay, thank you. 24 MR. LEONARD: Do you want to break 25 for lunch? Let's do it. Let's break for

thirty minutes. 1 2 (A lunch recess is taken.) 3 Q Dr. Hill, we're back on the record, and you remain under oath, and all the instructions 4 that I previously provided and we discussed still 5 remain in effect. 6 7 Prior to the break we talked about retaliation and what you contend were retaliatory 8 9 acts against you. And I think you said at that time that that's all that you could recall. Are there 10 11 any other retaliatory acts? 12 А I would say not, but I'm not sure. I might think of something later. 13 14 With respect to these retaliatory Q 15 acts, have you had to seek treatment from a 16 healthcare professional? 17 А No. 18 So you're not taking any Q 19 medication --20 А No. 21 Q -- for anxiety or anything of that 22 sort? 23 А No, no. 24 MR. PINCUS: Let him ask the full question and then you answer. 25

1	Q So you're not taking any medication
2	related to anxiety or depression or anything like
3	that as a result of those acts?
4	A No.
5	Q Have you suffered any monetary
6	damages as a result of those acts?
7	A You know, that's something that you can't
8	put a dollar sign on. I have not been as productive
9	as I would have been if I had stayed working with
10	the division. I've gone off on my own. I've found
11	a new field and I've done okay. But, you know, I
12	might have done better, but who knows. So I can't
13	really say that I have, but I can't really say that
14	I haven't.
15	Q Well, there's been no reduction of
16	salary?
17	A No.
18	Q And no reduction of benefits?
19	A No.
20	Q And going into this new field
21	wouldn't have changed your rate of pay or benefits?
22	A Probably not.
23	Q What is this new field?
24	A I study DNA damage in mitochondria,
25	m-i-t-o-c-h-o-n-d-r-i-a.

 1
 Q
 And whose grant are you working

 2
 under?

3 А I went to CCNY and I worked with a colleague there for several years. And now I support myself 4 to some extent. I also do collaborate 5 6 scientifically with Dr. Azzam. And I was a 7 co-investigator on a grant to the Department of 8 Energy. The principal investigator of the grant is 9 at the University of Iowa, and Dr. Azzam was the 10 principal investigator for the Newark part of that 11 grant, and I'm listed as a co-investigator on that 12 grant. The grant has expired, I believe. And I do 13 some studies that probably are supported by Dr. 14 Azzam's grant. He had several grants, actually. 15 0 Then maybe I misunderstood. I thought you stopped working with Dr. Azzam in '02. 16 17 I did, until probably 2006, maybe 2007. А 18 2007, I guess. Well, I'm trying to remember. It 19 started with the grant from Iowa, because Azzam was 20 in charge of the Newark part of that. It probably 21 just ended 2008. So probably 2006. 22 So when you say Azzam was in charge 0 23 of, could he have not allowed you to participate in 24 working on that grant? I suppose he could have. 25 А

95

```
But he did not do that; is that
1
            0
2
     correct?
3
     А
            No, no.
              Did you have any problems working
4
            0
     with Dr. Azzam again?
5
6
     А
          No.
7
            Q Why is that?
8
       Why I don't have problems?
     А
9
           O Yes.
            How should I know why I don't have problems.
10
     А
     I mean, this is science. We're both scientists. We
11
12
     are interested in the problem that we're looking at.
     I have a technique that is useful to him and he
13
14
     doesn't do it and I provide useful information.
15
            0
                 First of all, I thought you said
     previously he threatened you?
16
17
     А
           He did, but that was in 2002.
18
            Q
              And you were scared at the time?
19
            Upset, a little scared. Disappointed.
     А
20
     Disappointed.
21
            Q Disappointed or scared?
       Both, a little scared, very, very
22
     А
23
     disappointed.
24
            Q And I was under the impression after
25
     2002 that the climate with the group of
```

professionals you worked with was such that you didn't want any interaction with them and they were shunning you? A If they had apologized to me, I would have

5 been happy and would have probably started working 6 with them again. But no one has ever reached out to 7 me and tried to bring me back into the group.

8 Q Why, then, are you working with Dr.9 Azzam?

10 А It's a matter of science. He's studying 11 mitochondria, as I am, and he's studying different 12 aspects of the mitochondria. He has the cell lines, he cultures the cells. I don't culture cells 13 14 anymore, he cultures the cells and provides them to 15 me, and then I study what I study in the mitochondria and he studies what he studies in the 16 17 mitochondria. We don't have much of an interaction, 18 but it is a collaboration.

19 Q Are you working with anyone besides20 Dr. Azzam today?

A I do what I do in the medical genetics
laboratory. And I did put together a proposal for
the Institutional Review Board to do human studies
to look at the things that I look at in mitochondria
in DNA from children that have cystic fibrosis, but

97

we've only done a little bit. We haven't done very 1 much with that. 2 3 Q You attended Dr. Azzam's deposition, did you not? 4 I did, yes. 5 А 6 Q And I'm sure you knew that he was 7 upset during his deposition? 8 А Yes, I think he was, yes. 9 0 Particularly he was upset when he discovered that you had disseminated documents with 10 11 accusations regarding him to third parties? 12 А I guess so, yes. Has he retaliated against you in any 13 0 14 way. 15 No, we continue. In fact, I have an e-mail А from him waiting in my mailbox right now that he's 16 17 moving his lab, that lab that we shared, and asked 18 me what I wanted to do with it, did I want to take 19 it back over. And I don't, I don't want to have my 20 own lab anymore. And he's going to bring me some 21 more DNA samples. 22 Do you regret publishing his name to 0 23 third parties? 24 A I don't remember what I said to third parties. 25

```
You don't remember saying that he was
1
            0
     part of the coverup or that he retaliated against
2
3
     you?
            He certainly retaliated against me on the
4
     А
     day that he called me all those names.
5
6
            Q
                  What did he call you?
7
            He told me that I was a bad person, that I
     А
     would ruin the lives of Dr. Howell's postdoctoral
8
9
     fellows, that I would ruin the lives of Dr. Howell's
     children.
10
11
            0
                Well, that's not calling you a name,
12
     is it?
     A Well, it's inferring I'm a bad person is a
13
14
     name.
15
                   If you weren't going to file
            0
     allegations against him, what was the point in
16
17
     including his name in documents and making
18
     accusations and then distributing that to third
19
     parties?
20
     А
        I was telling my story.
21
            Q
                   To whom?
22
     А
            To whoever would read those documents.
23
            Q
                   Without any concern for the people
24
     that you were talking about in them?
25
        No one has shown any concern for me.
     А
```

So is that no? 1 0 I didn't think about it. 2 А 3 You didn't think about making Q accusations in written documents against your 4 colleagues and distributing those to third parties? 5 6 А You would have to show me those accusations. 7 I don't remember what they were. 8 0 Okay. There's a pile probably four 9 inches thick of documents. 10 Okay, show them to me. А 11 Well, certainly your slide show, the Q 12 PowerPoint presentation. What did I say about Azzam in the slide 13 А 14 show? 15 MR. PINCUS: Why don't you wait to let him show you the documents and then he'll ask 16 17 you the questions. 18 That same document we previously Q 19 marked, we can start with that. The one that was 20 marked Hill-2, why don't you take a look at that, 21 the Bates numbers 000345. The bottom of the first 22 paragraph it says, "It is my intention during this 23 meeting to show you this analysis and to relate to 24 you events that have occurred since your final decision in this case that strongly indicate there 25

100

```
has been cover-up by Dr. Howell of the misconduct
1
     and that this cover-up is supported by Dr. Azzam."
2
3
     Do you see that?
            This was a confidential document.
4
     А
                   Do you see that?
5
            0
6
     А
            I don't see it. Where is it? What
7
     paragraph is that? Oh, up there. Okay, right.
            Q Do you believe Dr. Azzam participated
8
9
     in a coverup?
            I would have to say looking back -- well,
10
     А
11
     Dr. Azzam has knowledge of Dr. Bishayee's cheating,
12
     and he had an obligation to the university. He had
     the same card that I had, he received the same memos
13
14
     that I did; that if you suspect misconduct, you're
15
     supposed to report it to your supervisor. He had
16
     that same obligation that I did.
17
                   My question really just requires a
            Q
18
     yes or no. Are you saying that Dr. Azzam
19
     participated in a coverup?
20
     А
            I guess I am saying that. I said that at
21
     that time.
22
            0
                  Do you believe it as you sit here
23
     today?
24
     A I believe that he could come forward and
     agree. But I think that having listened to his
25
```

deposition, I'm not sure what absolute knowledge he

1

2 had. Whether he actually saw the results of the 3 experiments. With all due respect, Dr. Hill, don't 4 0 you think you should have checked that before you 5 6 make an accusation like this about somebody? 7 This is a confidential document. А It's not very confidential. 8 0 9 А Not anymore. But Dr. Putterman could have called in Dr. Azzam and she could have questioned 10 11 him. 12 0 Why would you accuse him without 13 knowing that your accusation is, in fact, true? 14 А I don't think that saying that it was 15 supported by Azzam is an accusation. 16 My God, what do you think it is? Q 17 You're saying a coverup is supported by Dr. Azzam. 18 Well, if Dr. Azzam had knowledge of the fact А 19 that Bishayee had made up data, he should have 20 reported it. He should have reported it to Dr. 21 Baker, he should have reported it to Dr. Putterman. 22 But that's not what you're saying 0 23 here. What you're saying is --24 It's what I'm implying. А 25 You're saying that Dr. Azzam Q

```
supported a coverup.
 1
 2
     А
           Okay.
              But you don't know that to be true
 3
            Q
     then or now.
 4
 5
     А
          Okay.
 6
            Q Is that correct?
 7
     А
           Okay.
 8
            Q No, is that correct?
 9
     А
            I guess I would have to say yes.
10
              When you first called ORI, did you
            Q
11
     ask to go by the name of Hanna Heather?
12
     А
        Hanna Hester.
13
           O Hester?
14
     А
          Yes.
15
          Q
              They misspelled it on the thing.
16
     Why?
17
     A
            Because they told me that I could be
18
     anonymous and I could make up a name. And so I did
     for a little while.
19
20
            0
                 Why would you make up a name?
21
           Because they told me I could.
     А
22
              Well, if they told you you could run
            0
     down the street naked, would you do that?
23
24
     А
       Probably not.
25
            Q Why wouldn't you use your own name?
```

```
Well, I didn't call myself Hanna Hester for
1
     А
2
     more than about a day.
3
            Q It's an interesting name. Where did
     it come from?
4
            My grandmother. My great grandmother,
5
     А
6
     actually. And my parents were going to name me
7
     Hanna Hester when I was born, but they decided on
     Helena, thank goodness.
8
9
            0
              It's a very nice name.
                   (A discussion is held off the record,
10
11
            whereupon a paper written by Dr. Hill is
12
            received and marked Hill-3 for
            identification by the Reporter.)
13
14
            Q
              Dr. Hill, I'm handing you a document
15
     that's just been marked Hill-3. Would you take a
     look at this, please?
16
17
     А
            Okay.
18
            Q Do you recognize this document?
19
            I do.
     А
            Q
20
                  Did you author it?
21
     А
            I did.
22
            Q When did you author it?
23
     А
            Oh, man, when did I author it? I should
24
     have dated it.
25
            Q Would it help if I drew your
```

```
attention to the first paragraph where a date is
1
     referenced September 13th, 2006, and suggest it
2
3
     might have probably been subsequent to that?
                   MR. PINCUS: Where are you, please?
4
                   MR. LEONARD: First page, first
5
6
     paragraph, Page 362.
7
                   MR. PINCUS: Gotcha.
            Q Does that refresh your recollection
8
9
     as to a date?
            To whom was this document addressed?
10
     А
11
                   MR. PINCUS: Well, if you don't know,
12
     then that's all you need to say. He's asking you.
     Okay?
13
14
                   THE WITNESS: Okay.
15
            Q You prepared this document?
16
            I did.
     А
17
                   Is this part of your slide show, your
            Q
18
     PowerPoint presentation?
19
            It's not part of a slide show.
     А
20
            O Your PowerPoint?
21
           It's not part of a PowerPoint.
     А
22
            Q For what purpose did you create this
23
     document?
24
     A I don't remember.
25
            Q You don't remember why you created
```

it? 1 I don't remember. I think --2 А 3 MR. PINCUS: I think, by the way, hold on a second. 4 THE WITNESS: Okay. 5 6 MR. PINCUS: While you have these 7 stapled together, John, I don't think these are all one and the same document. So I don't want you to 8 9 assume for purposes of your questioning that these are all one and the same document. I'll leave it 10 11 there. Okay? 12 MR. LEONARD: Okay. Dr. Hill, is it possible that these 13 0 14 first three pages were written after what appears on 15 Page 366 and beyond was rejected by Susan Steel? MR. PINCUS: Objection to the form of 16 17 the question. There's no foundation that anything 18 was rejected by Susan Steel. You may answer. 19 Would you ask the question again, please? А 20 0 Sure. Once you found out that the 21 U.S. Attorney was not going to participate in the 22 lawsuit, you put on a presentation we discussed 23 previously. 24 MR. PINCUS: Objection, no foundation. You may answer. 25

I presented the PowerPoint, and then the 1 A U.S. Attorney decided not to pursue the case. 2 3 Q Okay. And after you heard that they were not going to pursue the case, is it possible 4 that this document was sent to them, these first 5 6 three pages? 7 А Yes. 8 Q What is the purpose of the "Executive 9 Summary" page? My husband thought that I ought to put in an 10 А 11 Executive Summary before I made the presentation to 12 the U.S. Attorney. So do these three pages enclose the 13 0 14 rest of this, these grafts and charts and so forth? 15 MR. PINCUS: What are you referring to in terms of "these three pages"? 16 17 Q Does the Executive Summary go with 18 what follows? 19 I handed out the Executive Summary before I А 20 made the presentation. 21 I want to direct your attention to Q 22 the last paragraph in the Executive Summary. 23 А Okay. 24 It says, "Others who are aware of the Q challenge to and non-producibility of Bishayee's 25

```
results, and who have either remained silent or have
1
2
     actively participated in suppression of
3
      investigation of his misconduct, include: Dr.
     Azzam, Dr. Baker, and several present and former
4
     administrators of the University." Do you see that?
5
6
     А
            Uh-huh.
7
                    Again, this is you saying that Dr.
             0
     Azzam participated in suppression of the
8
9
     investigation. And you also believe Dr. Baker
10
     participated in that, as well?
11
     А
            Yes.
12
             0
                    You do?
             Yes. Well, Dr. Baker knew that something
13
     Α
14
     was going on, and he was my chairman.
15
                   How does that equate to suppressing
             0
     misconduct?
16
17
             This all happened so long ago, you know, I
     А
18
     can't really tell you what my reasoning was at that
19
     time.
20
             0
                    Again, we're accusing somebody of
21
     doing something wrong and we're not sure of the
22
     basis for the accusation. What did Dr. Baker do?
23
     А
             Dr. Baker, when I went to him to report the
24
     misconduct in 2001, he rejected me, he told me I was
     making the department look bad. He never looked at
25
```

1	the documents that I gave him. He never did what a
2	chairman should do. And then after that he sent the
3	memo to the department to make me look bad. Dr.
4	Baker behaved very badly at that time.
5	Q Well, it was the Committee of
6	Integrity who reviews these types of things, right?
7	A That's right.
8	Q And you availed yourself of that,
9	right?
10	A Yes no, Dr. Baker sent me to that
11	committee.
12	Q Okay. So if he's sending you to a
13	committee where you can raise and pursue your
14	grievance, how is he simultaneously participating in
15	a suppression of misconduct?
16	A He never listened to my story. He assumed
17	that I was wrong. He never listen to me.
18	Q So this allegation is based on the
19	fact that he didn't listen to you?
20	A I can't really answer that. You know,
21	that's a complicated question and I can't really
22	answer that.
23	Q Who else could? You're the one who
24	made the accusation. If not that reason, then why?
25	A At the time that I wrote this document, I

believed that Baker would have something to reveal.
 Really, I can't answer. You ask questions that are
 not answerable.

I'm asking you why you wrote this? 4 0 5 Why did I write that? I don't remember why Ά 6 I wrote that. Why I wrote that? What I remember was that I was very disappointed in Baker. He had 7 not listened to my side, he had only listened to 8 9 Howell, and he had punished me. And that Azzam also 10 knew what was going on, and he had not said anything 11 to Dr. Baker or anyone else. And that people were 12 not disclosing information that they had.

13 Q But Dr. Baker did send you to the 14 committee and told you that the proper place to 15 raise these issues is with the committee.

16 A He didn't tell me that the proper place to 17 raise these issues was at the committee, he didn't 18 tell me that.

19 Well, what did he tell you? 0 20 А You're putting words into his mouth. 21 Well, what did he tell you? Q 22 He told me that this had to go to the А 23 Committee on Scientific Integrity. But that's not 24 to say that he shouldn't have listened to me first. Because my responsibility was to report to him. 25 The

white card said that, that I was to report to my 1 supervisor. And I went to my supervisor, and he 2 3 brushed me off and he said take it to the committee. He never listened. 4 He listen to Howell. We know he listened to 5 6 Howell because we have a memo that Howell wrote on 7 April 6th, but he never heard my story. Dr. Hill, I don't mean any disrespect 8 Q 9 by this, but him not listening to your story is not actually doing anything to you. You must understand 10 11 the difference. 12 MR. PINCUS: Objection to the form of 13 the question. You may answer. There's nothing here about retaliation. 14 А 15 "Others who are aware of the challenge to and non-reproducibility... " There's nothing said about 16 17 retaliation in there. 18 Is it your understanding that Dr. Q 19 Baker could come out with a finding on whether there 20 was scientific misconduct? 21 It was Dr. Baker's responsibility as my А 22 supervisor to hear me out, to hear my report, to 23 receive my report. 24 And could he make a finding on that Q report? 25

I don't know whether he could make a finding 1 А or not, that would be up to him. 2 3 Q You must know the procedure. Who better than you knows the procedure of UMDNJ for 4 airing grievances? Are you sitting here telling me 5 6 under oath that Dr. Baker has the ability to make a 7 determination as to whether there has been scientific misconduct committed at the university? 8 9 I am not saying that. А 10 Are you saying you know that not to Q 11 be the case? 12 I'm not saying that. I'm saying that Dr. А 13 Baker, when I went to him, brushed me off and passed me on to the committee. That Dr. Baker was aware 14 15 that there was a challenge. That's what it says here, "Others who are aware of the challenge..." 16 17 It says more than that, right, Dr. Q 18 Hill? 19 It does, yeah. А 20 0 It says, "...who have either remained 21 silent or have actively participated in suppression 22 of the investigation of this misconduct." 23 А Okay. 24 You're a scientist. Science, I Q assume, is a precise field. 25

1 A That's correct.

2 So you understand that what you're 0 3 saying there is that Azzam, Baker and several other unidentified individuals, some of them you're saying 4 actively participated in the suppression of the 5 6 investigation. 7 Remained silent or actively participated. А Azzam remained silent. Baker remained silent. 8 9 Who actively participated? 0 As we're sitting here today, I don't 10 А 11 remember. 12 0 But you're sure that Azzam and Baker did not actively participate? 13 14 А I believe now that Azzam did not actively 15 participate. 16 What did you believe when you wrote 0 17 this? 18 А That he knew a lot more than he acknowledged 19 in his deposition; that he knew the nature of the --20 well, he should have. He initialed that first 21 experiment, that first repeat experiment that was 22 started April 12th or April 13th. He initialed most 23 of the pages in that document. I believed that he 24 knew what the results of the repeat experiments were. And now I'm not sure whether he knew or not. 25

1	Q So basically what you're saying is
2	because somebody wouldn't repeat an experiment, that
3	would be a coverup?
4	A I didn't say that.
5	MR. LEONARD: Could you read back her
6	response, please?
7	(The answer on Page 113, Lines 18-25
8	is read by the Reporter.)
9	A I didn't know that he had signed, that he
10	had initialed that document until I saw that
11	document in the VPF files that were sent from the
12	university or from the U.S. Attorney's office. So I
13	was unaware of his participation in that experiment.
14	That's the only experiment, apparently, that he
15	participated in. And I don't know whether Dr.
16	Howell ever showed him the results of the other
17	repeat experiments. Because he's a specialist in
18	the cell cycle, he certainly would have understood
19	what was going on in Dr. Howell's repeat experiments
20	with the plateau, with that survival (indicating).
21	He would have known. He should have known, anyway.
22	If he didn't know then he's not as good a cell
23	biologist as I think he is.
24	Q Is inability to reproduce an
25	experiment in your mind absolute proof of scientific

1 misconduct?

2 A No.

3 Q There was a lot of back and forth 4 there. So as we sit here today, do you believe Dr. 5 Azzam participated in a coverup or not?

6 А You paint things in black and white. I 7 don't paint things in black and white. I think that 8 Azzam knew that something was going on and he didn't 9 say anything about it. He told me he knew something 10 was going on, because he said to me, If Dr. Howell's 11 children find out that Dr. Howell has done something 12 bad, that will a terrible thing for Dr. Howell. If 13 Dr. Howell's postdoc lose their jobs because Dr. 14 Howell has done something bad, that will be 15 terrible. So he knew that something was going on. 16 He may not have known exactly what was going on, but 17 he knew that something was going on.

18 Q So back to my question. As you sit 19 here today, do you believe, yes or no, that Dr. 20 Azzam participated in a coverup? 21 MR. PINCUS: Objection, asked and 22 answered. She answered your question, John. 23 MR. LEONARD: I want a yes or no.

24 MR. PINCUS: I think she's saying she 25 can't answer it yes or no. She explained the facts

on which she relied. You're asking the same 1 question over and over and over again. She's 2 3 answered it three times the same way. I don't think it's going to change. 4 Yes or no? 5 0 Do I go to jail if you don't answer your 6 А 7 question. You don't go to jail, but you lose 8 0 9 credibility, insofar as you're writing documents to people making that accusation, but now you won't say 10 11 it under oath. 12 MR. PINCUS: Objection to the form. 13 Q That's the problem. 14 MR. PINCUS: My objection is noted. MR. LEONARD: Your objection stands, 15 I get it. 16 17 With respect to the document you Q 18 wrote to Dr. Putterman, isn't it true that you 19 accused Dr. Azzam of doing that? 20 А I did accuse Dr. Azzam of doing that. 21 But as you sit here today, you can't Q 22 say yes or no whether you believe that to be true? 23 А I will say I believe it to be true. 24 See how easy that can be? Q No, it wasn't easy. 25 А

1	MR. PINCUS: And by the way, before
2	we get off of this, do you want to break up the
3	Hill-3 exhibit or do you want to leave it as one?
4	MR. LEONARD: We can leave it as one.
5	MR. PINCUS: Okay.
6	Q Dr. Hill, you had problems with Dr.
7	Bishayee prior to anything involving experiments;
8	isn't that true?
9	A Like what?
10	Q Like did you have a conflict with him
11	because you thought he was looking at pornographic
12	material on your computer?
13	A I gave him permission to use my computer.
14	And I came in the next morning, after he had used my
15	computer, and I found this e-mail. I didn't know
16	this at the time, but when e-mail went out from my
17	computer, it went out under my name. He didn't know
18	it, either. So I don't know where he got these
19	names, but, anyway, he was sending e-mail out to
20	Cutie, Baby and Honey Doll, and goodness knows who
21	all, and I was pretty shocked.
22	And then Dr. Howell came in, and I didn't
23	even know that you can see what people have been
24	doing on the internet, and Dr. Howell had found that
25	he had gone to some pretty salacious internet sites,

and he was totally outraged. And I was outraged for 1 2 maybe a day or so, and then I thought about it. I though well, here's this guy, he's far from home, 3 and he's a man and he's looking for some fun or 4 5 girls or something like that. 6 So Howell wrote the memo that went into his 7 personnel file. And I wasn't, you know, I wasn't 8 upset about it for very long. I thought he's a 9 lonely guy. And I would hope my son wouldn't behave 10 like that, but that's the way it was. 11 The first complaint you had with Dr. 0 12 Bishayee regarding his work was a couple of weeks after that incident, wasn't it? 13 14 А It was a lot more than a couple of weeks. 15 It was about two and a half months. 16 Two and a half months? 0 17 Right. Dr. Howell said it was a couple of А 18 weeks, but it was a lot longer than that. And I had 19 totally forgotten about it by then. 20 0 So at that time you still were not 21 upset with him for having used your computer to

22 visit those sites?

A No. And he didn't get to use my computeranymore. He did it in the lab.

25 MR. FLYNN: Too much information.

THE WITNESS: May I say something off 1 2 the record? 3 MR. PINCUS: No. When you first started going to Dr. 4 0 Howell with what you believed to be problems with 5 6 Dr. Bishayee, was it your intent to get Dr. Bishayee terminated or fired? 7 No. You don't fire a postdoc. I'm sure I 8 А 9 never used the word "fire." What you do is you help them find the next job. You show them the door. 10 11 Dr. Hill, I want to show you a Q 12 document that's been marked previously at the deposition of Dr. Lenarczyk as Exhibit 7. Would you 13 14 take a look at that, please? 15 А Okay. 16 Q Do you recognize that document? Yes, I do. 17 А 18 Q Did you author this document? I did. 19 А 20 Q When did you author this document? 21 I authored this before I went to the А 22 Committee on Scientific Integrity. 23 Q I want to direct your attention to 24 the second page. 25 A Okay.

Q The second to the last paragraph, it
 starts, "On Monday, March 26th."

3 A Yes.

Q About four sentences down it says, "It was my hope that by careful documentation I would be able to present a convincing case to Dr. Howell regarding Dr. Bishayee's incompetence and that I could then persuade Dr. Howell to terminate his appointment." Do you see that?

10 A Yes.

11 Does that refresh your recollection 0 12 as to whether you wanted Dr. Bishayee fired? I told you I don't use the word "fire." 13 Α 14 Terminate his appointment would mean that, you know, 15 it was time for Anupam to move on. He had been 16 there for three years, or something like that. And 17 if I could make Howell realize that Anupam was going 18 doing things that were not scientifically correct, 19 that Howell would you say, Well, Anupam, you've been 20 here for three years. Now it's time for you to get 21 another job.

I don't use the word "fire" for a postdoc. What you do is you say, you know, it's time to find another job. And what I was hoping was that Howell would do that.

Now, ethically how do you reconcile 1 0 that with all your prior testimony? Let me explain. 2 3 If you believe that Dr. Bishayee was falsifying information and engaging in scientific misconduct, 4 your hope was that Dr. Howell would help him find 5 6 another position without disclosing it, help him 7 find another position with another employer? MR. PINCUS: Objection to the form of 8 9 the question. You may answer. 10 А These things were just things are 11 suspicious. 1999 Bishayee did something that was 12 highly suspicious. 2001 he's doing something again 13 that is highly suspicious. And so if I were wearing 14 Howell's shoes, I would say to Bishayee, It's time 15 for you to move on. And if anyone asked me for a 16 recommendation, and I've been asked for many 17 recommendations, and I've had some pretty poor 18 performers, also, I always try to say about them 19 that what I say about them is true. I don't like to 20 say something bad about someone in a letter of 21 recommendation. Now what Howell would do, I don't 22 know. But my hope was that Howell would persuade 23 Bishayee to move on.

24 Q If you and he are aware of what you 25 refer to as "highly suspicious" activities, and you

persuade him to move on, isn't that remaining silent?

3 А I suppose it would be, yes, right. Isn't that exactly what you're 4 0 5 accusing Dr. Azzam and Dr. Baker of? 6 А You're turning my words against me. Baker 7 is a supervisor and it's his job to -- it's his 8 responsibility to know what's going on in his 9 department and to act appropriately. So Baker, I'm 10 disappointed in Baker's behavior. I think that he 11 should have taken a more active role in finding out 12 what was going on.

Azzam, Azzam was a junior person, he was an assistant professor. This was his first professorial job. I at the time believed he had more information than I now believe, if I believe his deposition. So I can't tell you as we're sitting here today that what you're saying that I'm saying about them is correct.

20 Q I'm not asking you to. That's not 21 responsive to my question. My question was: If you 22 knew someone that you're a senior over, you knew 23 they engaged in highly suspicious activity over the 24 course of a couple of years, and you were trying to 25 get Howell to get them to go somewhere else, you

1 agreed with me that that would be remaining silent, 2 correct?

3 You're asking a hypothetical question, Α because we don't know if -- if you have a person who 4 5 has done some suspicious things, you have no absolute proof that that person has done suspicious 6 7 things, but you're suspicious of them and you want 8 to have them move on, you say it's time for me to 9 terminate your position, and that person moves on. 10 You don't help them get another job. When you're 11 asked for a recommendation, you tell them the truth, 12 he's a very hard worker and so forth, but you wouldn't tell them your suspicions unless you really 13 14 knew for sure that they had done something bad.

15 What about that white card you were 0 16 telling me about? What about your obligations if 17 you think somebody is doing something suspicious 18 with research, wouldn't you try to figure out what 19 it is they're doing? I mean, wouldn't you try to 20 get to the bottom of what you felt was highly 21 suspicious? Wouldn't you feel an obligation to do 22 that?

23 MR. PINCUS: Hold on. Objection to
24 the form of the question. You may answer.
25 A Are you talking about Dr. Howell?

I'm talking about you. I'm talking 1 0 2 about you saying in this document that you found all 3 this information, and what you were trying to do with it was to get Dr. Howell to get him to go 4 5 someplace else. 6 А That's right. 7 Where is your obligation to go look 0 8 into what you believe to be highly suspicious 9 activity? I think I fulfilled my obligation. I went 10 А 11 to the Committee on Scientific Integrity and I told 12 them that I believed that there has been scientific 13 misconduct. That was my obligation. 14 Because Howell didn't do what you 0 15 asked him to do. Had Howell complied with your 16 request as you state here, Bishayee would be off at 17 some other facility and none of this would have 18 occurred; isn't that true? 19 What happened happened. And we went to the А Committee on Scientific Misconduct, that happened. 20 21 Are you saying that I'm saying that Howell should 22 have terminated -- let's start over again. 23 Lenarczyk and I thought that Bishayee was 24 doing something wrong in his experiments. Our goal in shadowing him was to be able to show Howell that, 25

124

indeed, Bishayee was not carrying out the experiments according to the protocol; that he was carrying out an experiment with contaminated cultures. That was all we were after when this whole thing started. And we had hoped that when the experiment was all over, that we could then go to Howell and say, Look, this is what we found.

8 But then it got to be much more serious than 9 that, because Bishayee went to Lenarczyk and asked 10 for fresh cultures. We knew that his cultures 11 already were contaminated because we had tested 12 them. So Bishayee asks Lenarczyk for fresh 13 cultures. Lenarczyk gives him a flask of fresh 14 cells.

15 And lo and behold, he processes them, he takes them to the fax machine, leaving the tubes 16 17 that he had set up earlier in the week that he 18 should have processed for that experiment, leaving 19 them in the cold incubator. So it was obvious that 20 he had switched contaminated cells and used 21 uncontaminated cells that he had gotten from 22 Lenarczyk.

23 So to start with, all we wanted to do was 24 show how he had carried out an experiment with 25 contaminated cultures and hadn't let on about it.

And in the end it turned out that he did that 1 switch, and then it looked like it was a whole lot 2 3 more serious, that he was actually fabricating data. Q Let's back up. As we sit here today, 4 the truth is you never saw him switch tubes; isn't 5 6 that correct? 7 A I did not see him switch tubes. Q And you can't state definitively 8 9 under oath that he fabricated data; isn't that also true? 10 MR. PINCUS: Objection, that calls 11 12 for a legal conclusion. You may answer. A Say it again. 13 14 Q As you sit here today under oath, you 15 can't testify definitively that Dr. Bishayee fabricated data. 16 17 A I have a vast knowledge and I say he 18 fabricated data. 19 Q So now you're saying that he did? 20 A I'm saying that he did. 21 Q As opposed to your prior testimony 22 where you say you can never be 100 percent sure? 23 A At that time I could not have been 100 24 percent sure.

25 Q Earlier today?

Now I believe that he fabricated data. 1 А 2 0 What changed between now and earlier 3 today? As I look back on it, I believe that he 4 А fabricated data. 5 6 Q When you say you look back on it --7 In that particular experiment he had to have А fabricated data, or he had to have switched the 8 9 cells. Because the cells that we had observed in 10 that incubator during the course of the week, 11 remained there, did not get processed, something got 12 processed, and he had asked Lenarczyk to give him fresh cells. 13 Dr. Hill, this is very important. 14 Q 15 You've already testified that you couldn't, but we'll go through it one more time. As you sit here 16 17 today under oath, it's your testimony that you can 18 say absolutely that you know Dr. Bishayee fabricated 19 data? 20 MR. PINCUS: Objection to the form of 21 the question. You may answer. 22 0 Yes or no. 23 А If I say yes, then you'll figure out some 24 way where I have said no. If I say no, you'll figure out some way that I have said yes. I feel 25

127

```
2
     against me.
3
                    MR. LEONARD: Read the question back,
     please. It's a very specific question. It just
4
     requires a yes or no answer.
5
6
                    MR. PINCUS: Unless she has to
7
     explain herself, in which case she may.
8
                    MR. LEONARD: She can do so after she
9
     answers the question.
                    MR. PINCUS: Well, if she can't
10
11
     answer it yes or no and needs to explain, that's a
12
     way to answer the question. But go ahead. You're
     making it either/or, but you know it's not
13
14
     necessarily so.
15
                    MR. LEONARD: It absolutely is. It
     can't be anything else. Read back the question,
16
17
     please.
18
                    (The last two questions are read by
19
            the Reporter.)
20
     А
            If you're asking me if in my heart of
21
     hearts --
22
                    That's not what I'm asking you. I'm
             0
23
     not asking you in your heart of hearts, your
24
     intuition, what you guess. I'm asking you as you
     sit here today under oath, can you state that you
25
```

like my words are being thrown at me and used

1

1	absolutely know that Dr. Bishayee fabricated data?
2	MR. PINCUS: Same objection.
3	THE WITNESS: Can I refuse to answer?
4	MR. PINCUS: No.
5	A Then I will say yes.
6	MR. LEONARD: Okay.
7	(Written Disclosure of Dr. Hill dated
8	10/10/03 is received and marked Hill-4 for
9	identification by the Reporter.)
10	Q Dr. Hill, I'm handing you a document
11	that's been marked Hill-4. I will represent to you
12	that it's your written disclosures in this matter.
13	MR. LEONARD: Can we get this marked,
14	as well?
15	MR. PINCUS: The supplement is going
16	to be 5?
17	MR. LEONARD: Yes.
18	(Supplement to Written Disclosure of
19	Dr. Hill dated $4/6/04$ is received and marked
20	Hill-5 for identification by the Reporter.)
21	Q Dr. Hill, I'm handing you a document
22	which has just been marked Hill-5. This is the
23	supplement to your Written Disclosure. I'd ask that
24	you look at those and just make sure that you can
25	identify them.

Okay. 1 А 2 Q Would you look at the last page and 3 see if that's your signature there? Which document are you looking at? I have 4 А Hill-4 and Hill-5. 5 6 Q Both, please. One is a supplement of 7 the other. 8 00064, that's my signature. On 000340 A 9 that's my signature. 10 And you prepared or had those Q 11 documents prepared by your attorney? 12 А That's correct. And I want you to take time if you 13 0 14 need to look through it, but I want to know if these 15 documents collectively reflect everything you 16 believe that Dr. Bishayee did wrong? 17 А No. 18 How do you know that? Q 19 Because I had an opportunity to spend that А 20 time in the U.S. Attorney's office and to look 21 through the notebooks and to become aware of the 22 experiments, like those that we were just talking 23 about (indicating). 24 So these do not accurately reflect Q 25 what you believe Dr. Bishayee did?

1	MR. PINCUS: Objection to the form of
2	the question. That's not the question you asked.
3	MR. LEONARD: Well, she answered that
4	one. She said no.
5	MR. PINCUS: Your question was do
6	these contain everything on which you relied, as
7	opposed to now you're asking does this accurately
8	set forth. You asked two different questions and
9	there's some significance. I just want you to
10	understand the basis of my objection, John.
11	A So would you tell me what the question is,
12	please?
13	Q Sure?
14	MR. LEONARD: Could you read it back?
15	(The pending question is read by the
16	Reporter.)
17	A At the time that these documents were
18	written where is the date? April 6th, 2004. If
19	you're talking about everything that he did from
20	1999 through today, I would have to answer no.
21	Q Have you ever supplemented these
22	documents to include whatever information you think
23	is lacking from them?
24	A The additional information came in what I
25	believe you call discovery.

1	Q Just so we're clear, in your words,
2	what is missing from these documents in terms of
3	what you think Dr. Bishayee did?
4	A These additional experiments, these
5	experiments, I believe that he fabricated the
6	survivals. I believe in this document, I believe
7	that he had fabricated this shows that he must
8	have been fabricating the bystander, the $50/50$
9	experiment, the 50 percent experiment. But at this
10	time I was unaware of the 100 percent experiment.
11	Q So is it fair to say that together
12	Exhibits 4 and 5 contain everything you believe Dr.
13	Bishayee did, with the exception of possible
14	wrongdoing in connection with 100 percent
15	experiments?
16	A Yes, I think so.
17	Q And you understand that those were
18	served on the government, not us, right?
19	A Yeah. Well, yeah, yeah.
20	Q Let's go to the first one, the
21	Written Disclosure. Paragraph 5, it references your
22	line of expertise. Do you see that?
23	A Okay, yes.
24	Q What exactly is your area of
25	expertise?

1	A I'm a radiation biologist. My expertise is
2	the effect of radiation on biological material,
3	living cells. Do you want more?
4	Q If there is more.
5	A I specialize in DNA damage and repair. And
6	up to the time that I started working with Howell, I
7	was an expert in pigmented cells and the effect of
8	radiation damage on pigmented cells. Do you want
9	more? I can keep on going for a little while.
10	Q Yes, please. I just want to know
11	your areas of expertise. It's not a trick question.
12	Just yes, whatever your areas are.
13	A At that time I guess I wasn't let me see,
14	what's the date? I guess it was pretty early on. I
15	hadn't start working with mitochondria yet.
16	Ultraviolet, I have done a lot of work with
17	ultraviolet light, as well as ionizing radiation.
18	2003? Yes, DNA damage and mitochondria.
19	Q And it's not limited to this date. I
20	was just asking you up to today what your areas
21	were.
22	A Okay.
23	Q Have we covered them?
24	A Yeah, I think this is good enough. You

1	Q Are there more?
2	A No, that's enough.
3	MR. PINCUS: Why do you think they
4	have CVs that are 82 pages long?
5	THE WITNESS: That's right, why don't
6	you look at my CV and you'll know what my expertise
7	is.
8	MR. LEONARD: I'd rather hear it from
9	you. Why settle for a document when I have the real
10	thing.
11	Q Paragraph 17, you say here that
12	Howell fired Bishayee, and we covered that. But you
13	also say, "and made it impossible for Lenarczyk
14	to extend his visa."
15	A Well, Lenarczyk told me, he didn't say it in
16	his deposition, but he told me that he couldn't stay
17	because he wasn't getting enough money, and he
18	wanted to bring his wife over. So that's basically
19	the background for that statement.
20	Q You were interviewed by the Committee
21	on Research Integrity?
22	A Yes.
23	Q You gave a statement to them?
24	A Yes, I did.
25	Q As you think about that, as you

```
reflect on that, did you testify honestly? You
1
2
     provided a statement to them?
3
     А
            Yes.
                  Was that statement truthful and
4
             0
5
     accurate?
            Yes.
6
     А
7
                   You also provided testimony?
             0
8
     А
            Yes.
9
            0
                   Did you testify truthfully?
10
     А
            Yes.
11
                   As you sit here today, is there
             Q
12
     anything that you wish you could change or
     supplement to that?
13
14
     А
             Wish I could change? I wish I had never
15
     gotten messed up in this, but other than that...
16
                    Okay, fair enough.
             Q
17
             With respect to Dr. Howell's grant, the one
18
     that's in question in the renewal, is it your belief
19
     that nothing good came of the efforts that were
20
     undertaken with respect to that grant?
21
            No. I believe that the bystander stuff is
     А
22
     not good, because I believe that that was
23
     fabricated. However, I believe that the overall
24
     project itself was a worthy project, and that Howell
25
     has done some very good work in other areas.
```

Dr. Helene Hill - Direct/Leonard

135

```
How about with respect to that grant,
 1
            0
 2
     is there anything good that has come out of those
     efforts?
 3
     A You know, I don't know. I'm not in nuclear
 4
     medicine myself, so I really don't know.
 5
 6
            Q
              Have you ever had any conversations
 7
     with John Grandier, a statistician?
     A John Grandier?
 8
 9
          O Yes.
           You know, the name is ringing a bell,
10
     А
11
     because Field told me about it, but that's not his
12
     name.
           Q Am I mispronouncing it?
13
14
     A I don't think you've got the name right.
15
     It's Gardinier.
            Q I'll take that. Have you ever talked
16
17
     to that guy?
18
       I tried to. No, I haven't. I don't think I
     А
     have. I tried to find him and I think I was unable
19
20
    to find him.
21
            Q Have you spoken to any statistician
     regarding this case?
22
23
     A Yes.
24
      Q Who might that be?
25
                  MR. PINCUS: Objection. You're
```

asking at this point for the disclosure of 1 2 individuals who we have consulted with, and at this 3 point it's not necessary, nor do we have to disclose who may actually be our expert or experts in this 4 5 case. So to the extent you're asking us who we may 6 have consulted with during --7 MR. LEONARD: Not retained, I'm not asking you who you retained. I'm just asking who 8 9 she has spoken to. If there's somebody you've 10 retained and you don't want to disclose it yet, 11 that's fine. I'm just asking who she's spoken to. 12 MR. PINCUS: He's saying other than 13 anyone who we may be working with or retained, you 14 should confine yourself to that. 15 А Okay. I spoke with Dr. Mosimann. 16 When did you speak to him? 0 In the offices of the ORI. So that started 17 А 18 in 2002. So it would have been sometime in 2003 19 probably. 20 0 Have you talked to him more than 21 once? 22 А I don't think so. 23 0 Have you provided him with any 24 materials? I asked him some questions, but I don't 25 А

```
remember if I provided him with materials or not.
1
2
            Q Any other statistician that you've
3
     consulted with? And I'm not talking about actually
     retained for the purposes of the case.
4
           No. By "consult" you mean received
5
     А
6
     opinions?
7
                  No, no, no, just -- well, yes, talked
            0
     to about the case, not necessarily retained.
8
9
     А
            Well, you know, I had to look for expert
     witnesses and I had to talk to them a little bit
10
11
     about statistics, and I don't remember who they
12
     were.
            Q Do you know how many people you've
13
14
     talked to?
15
     A Maybe three or four.
16
            Q Are they statisticians?
17
     А
           Yes.
18
                   Without disclosing the identity, have
            Q
19
     you retained a statistician?
20
                   MR. PINCUS: Again, you're getting
21
     into an area in terms of individuals who may be
22
     considered to be consulting experts, as opposed to
23
     testimonial experts. At this point we're not
24
     required to disclose that. Obviously, we know we
25
     have a deadline and we will act accordingly. So
```

that's the purpose of me saying what I said. 1 2 MR. LEONARD: That's fine. 3 Have you consulted, not retained, Q have you consulted with any experts with respect to 4 cell cycles? 5 6 А I had to send you the names of people that I 7 had sent e-mails to. You have that list, and I don't remember who they are. 8 9 0 How about have you consulted with 10 anybody, again, not retained, on the hypoxic effects 11 of radiation? 12 А I think that would be in the list, also. You provided a separate document or 13 Q 14 in response to answers to interrogatories? 15 А In response to interrogatories and the document list. 16 17 MR. PINCUS: I think what she's 18 referring to is e-mails and things. I can't put my 19 finger on it at this moment, but I think that that's 20 what she's referring to. 21 I think it was people, you know, in the А 22 interrogatories, I had to say who I contacted. 23 MR. PINCUS: I may be wrong based on 24 what she's saying, but I think that's what she's 25 referring to.

```
A Yeah, yeah, e-mails.
1
2
           Q Are we talking about anybody other
3
     than Eric Hall, Thomas Hei, and God knows I'm going
     to butcher this name, but Hongning Zhou?
4
5
     А
           Yes.
6
            Q Are those the individuals to whom you
7
     just referred?
8
     A They're not statisticians.
9
                  MR. PINCUS: No, his question right
     now had to do with hypoxia.
10
11
     А
           Oh, hypoxia. Yeah, yeah.
12
            Q
              Those are the people?
           I contacted them, yeah. They're the people
13
     А
14
    from Columbia.
15
            Q Right. Anybody beyond them?
16
       Are there other names in that document?
     А
17
           Q No, not that I see, that's why I'm
18
     asking.
19
       I thought I provided a list.
     А
20
           Q
              Hang on a minute.
21
                  MR. PINCUS: Do you want to take a
22
   couple of minutes?
23
                 MR. LEONARD: No.
24
              I've previously been giving you
            Q
   what's been marked Lenarczyk Exhibit 9. It's your
25
```

```
answers to interrogatories. It should be there in
 1
      front of you.
 2
 3
                    MR. PINCUS: Are you talking about
      Page 17?
 4
                    MR. LEONARD: Actually, I was going
 5
 6
      to have her go through Number 2, starting probably
 7
      on Page 11.
 8
                    MR. PINCUS: I thought you were on
 9
      17.
10
                    MR. LEONARD: I was, and she said
11
      that she did. But I'd like her to go through Number
12
      2, and tell me if the people listed here comprise
      everybody who she has consulted with. If this is
13
14
      the list she was referring to.
                    MR. PINCUS: Page 2 or Page 3?
15
16
                    MR. LEONARD: Number 2.
17
                    MR. PINCUS: Do you understand the
18
      question?
19
                    THE WITNESS: I think I do, yes.
20
                    MR. PINCUS: He wants to now go back
21
      and know whether these were individuals that you
      consulted with or not. Is that what you were
22
23
      asking?
24
                    MR. LEONARD: Yes.
25
             I wasn't really consulting. I was looking
      А
```

Dr. Helene Hill - Direct/Leonard 141

```
for expert witnesses. So I contacted a few people
1
2
     to see if they would be willing to be expert
3
     witnesses.
             0
                   Are those people identified here?
4
                   MR. PINCUS: That runs through I
5
6
     think Page 13.
7
                   You obviously don't have to read
             0
     everything about it. Just look at the names and
8
9
     tell me. However it works best for you.
             I see what you're looking for. These are
10
     А
11
     all the people that were around there. Kay L.
12
     Fields, I can't get rid of that "s."
                    (A discussion is held off the record.)
13
14
     А
            Well, what I thought you were looking for
15
     was who are the people that I contacted when I was
16
     looking for expert witnesses.
17
             Q
                    That is.
18
            They're not on that list.
     А
19
                   They're not on that list?
            Q
20
     А
            Right.
21
            Q
                   Okay.
22
             Did you communicate with all those
23
     individuals through e-mail?
24
     А
             These individuals?
25
               No, the people that you were just
             Q
```

142

referring to that you consulted with when you were 1 2 looking for experts. 3 А Yes. Did you have any in-person meetings 4 0 with any of these people? 5 6 А No. 7 To the best of your knowledge, you 0 have produced the e-mails between you and these 8 9 individuals? I think I did, yes. 10 А 11 MR. LEONARD: Why don't we take ten 12 minutes. Okay? (At this point in the proceedings, 13 14 a brief recess is taken.) 15 Dr. Hill, we're back on the record. 0 All the instructions given to you previously remain 16 17 in place. You remain under oath. 18 Previously we talked about individuals who 19 leave the university that you would not give 20 recommendations to. 21 Not say anything bad about it is kind of А 22 what I said. I will tell the truth. You know, 23 that's very important to me is the truth. So I'll 24 say what's true. You know, Bishayee never worked 25 for me. I never would have to give a recommendation

for him. I think I wouldn't if I had to. But other 1 2 people that I've had that weren't very good, I said 3 what they did, I said that they were nice, I said things like that. But I wouldn't say that I think 4 5 this was a terrible worker, because I really don't like to destroy people. I hope that they will be 6 able to get along and get a boss that isn't as 7 8 easygoing as I am, who will really put the screws on 9 them and make them work right.

10 Q And not looking in this case, has 11 anybody ever worked for that engaged in what you 12 thought was suspicious activity that left and then 13 asked you to give a recommendation?

14 Yes. I had, I guess she was a postdoc, and Δ 15 she was doing a procedure. We were measuring DNA 16 strand breaks in gels. We scientists do an awful 17 lot of stuff in electrophoresis and gels and so 18 forth. And her results were not coming out right 19 and I knew something was wrong. I said, Let me run 20 the experiment and I'll see if I can figure out 21 where it is that something isn't working. It was a 22 pretty complicated experiment, and you had to cut 23 out the gels into little pieces and then you had to 24 digest them with acid.

25 So I went through the experiment. And when

I was finished, then you have to count the radioactivity that's coming from these gels. And I counted my radioactivity and it was gone, and I had put in lots. You can see from Howell's experiments, there's lots of counts. And this was astounding. And I did it again and the same thing happened. And I thought this is really weird.

8 Then I realized when you digest the gel with 9 the acid, you keep a bottle of concentrated 10 hydrochloric acid on the bench and you just take a 11 pipette and you take that and you put it into each 12 one of the vials to digest the gels. I think 13 actually what she was doing was not getting them 14 thoroughly digested.

But I think what she did then to sabotage my experiment was that she took the hydrochloric acid and she put it into every single one of the tubes and it just destroyed everything. I have no proof. But anyway, that's what I figured, that she was sabotaging my experiment. Because she didn't want it to work for me if it couldn't work for her.

22 So I went to the university lawyer and I 23 said, Look, I think this woman has been sabotaging 24 my experiment, or sabotaged my experiment when I 25 tried to it, and what do I do? Things were a lot

looser in those days, and the lawyer said, Go back to the lab and tell her to pack up her things and to leave, and give her 15 minutes. And I did that. And she was supposed to be taking her off the payroll. They didn't actually take her off the payroll for another two or three months or something like that.

8 And then they called me and they said she's 9 getting another job in Connecticut and they need a 10 letter of recommendation. And I said I really don't 11 want to write a letter of recommendation. And they 12 said you have to. So I wrote her a letter of 13 recommendation, and I did just what I said I would 14 do, I said that she's --

15 Q She drinks good coffee.

16 A Yeah, right. She's an attractive person and 17 she worked. I tried to say what was true and 18 nothing more. And so that's the way that was 19 handled.

20 Q Do you remember who you spoke to at 21 UMDNJ about this person?

A It was a woman in the legal department and Idon't remember who it was.

24 Q Do you remember when this was?25 A It was ages ago.

Is that the only incident? 1 0 2 Yes. Α 3 With respect to the PowerPoint Q presentation and some of the documents that we've 4 seen, for example, one entitled "I Am a 5 6 Whistleblower," and some of these other documents, 7 where were they created? On my computer. 8 А 9 0 At home? Yes, probably. 10 А 11 Do you know if they were on UMDNJ's Q 12 computers? I've been pretty careful not to do anything 13 А 14 on UMDNJ's computes. The computer I have in my 15 office I paid for out of my own money, which is 16 money that I gave to the university to support my 17 research, and it's linked to the university system. 18 And the university doesn't want you to do your 19 internet shopping on university computers. I don't 20 do that. I have e-mail at work and I have e-mail at 21 home. I take very seriously that you shouldn't use 22 the university. I don't use their copy machines to 23 do personal stuff. 24 So none of these documents were Q created on your office computer? 25

```
No. I think they can reach in. I have a
1
     А
2
     feeling they're reaching in and looking at what's in
3
     my computer.
            0
                   I'm not a tech person, but I suspect
4
5
     you're right.
6
            These visits that you made to the U.S.
7
     Attorney and the FBI, I think we said there were
     like 17 visits?
8
9
            Something like that, yes.
     Α
               Were they during weekdays?
10
            Q
11
            Yes.
     А
12
            0
                   What is your schedule now? Do you go
     to the university every day?
13
14
     А
            Not every day, but most days.
15
            Q
                  Most days?
16
     А
            Yes.
17
            Q
               This past week what are you working
18
     on?
19
            Monday was a holiday. Tuesday I teach in
     А
20
     what they call the Core Curriculum, it's the medical
21
     school. It's a new philosophy that medical schools
22
     have that we need to teach doctors ethics and how to
23
     interact with patients. You know, to not walk in
24
     and say, "You have cancer and you're going to die,"
25
     that sort of thing, sensitivity.
```

And I'm a facilitator in the Core 1 2 Curriculum. I meet with my group once a week either 3 for two or three hours. We did it in the fall, we did about six or eight weeks in the fall, and now 4 we're doing 13 weeks in the beginning of this year. 5 It takes a lot of time. Because I have to 6 7 read the documents that they have to read and so forth. 8 9 I interview applicants for the medical 10 school, and that takes a lot of time, also, because 11 I have to go over their application and read all the 12 things that they've done to get them into medical 13 school, and read their essays and so forth. 14 And then I do what research I can, working 15 in the medical genetics and doing this preliminary chain reaction that I do to analyze mitochondria 16 17 DNA. 18 With respect to interviewing the Q 19 medical students, is that something you do with Dr. 20 Baker? 21 No. А 22 Q Is he the head of that program? 23 А No, he's the dean for -- what do they call 24 it -- continuing education. Doctors have to do a certain number of hours every year of continuing 25

149

education, like 50 hours or something like that. 1 So 2 they go to a conference and they get credit for it. 3 And the medical school will present courses that 4 doctors can attend to get their continuing education 5 credits, and that would be his job. I believe 6 that's his job, I'm not really sure. 7 He's not involved in interviewing at 0

8 all?

9 A I don't think so. I've never seen him on 10 the interview list, but I don't really see that. We 11 meet once a year and we kind of get a pep talk, and 12 I've never seen him there.

Q The documents that you've created in connection with this case, I'm talking about the PowerPoint, I Am a Whistleblower, and the other documents, some of which we've looked at here today, can you tell me to whom you've sent copies of those documents?

19 A I sent them to the people on that list, I
20 sent one to Hei, to Hei and Hall. I sent them to
21 Richard Setlow, who is a fellow at Brookhaven
22 National Lab, I call him my scientific stepfather,
23 to have him help me find expert witnesses. Who else
24 did I send to? I thought I had given a list of
25 those people in the interrogatories, but maybe I

didn't. 1 2 MR. FLYNN: We don't have that. 3 А Marianne Passanante has seen them. She's a statistician. She's not, she's not, she's in 4 preventative medicine. I thought she was a 5 statistician. Bart Holland. 6 Where is she --7 0 They're at the medical school. There are 8 А 9 some other people that Setlow recommended that I 10 sent them to, but nothing ever happened there. Who 11 else? 12 0 Do you remember who Setlow 13 recommended you send them to? 14 Α I don't remember the names. I probably 15 didn't send them the documents. I just sent a 16 letter and asked them if they would be willing to be expert witnesses. Offhand, I don't remember anyone 17 else. I don't like to talk about this. 18 19 I know we've covered a lot of ground 0 20 today. Is there anything else that you wish to say 21 in support of any of your claims? 22 Anything else that I wish to say? I suppose А 23 what I want to say is that I don't take this 24 lightly. Obviously, I have expended a great deal of my time, a great deal of my family's finances, that 25

I'm sad and disappointed in the university and Dr. 1 2 Howell. Bishayee, I don't really blame him, because 3 I think he grew up in a place where in order to get ahead you had to make up things. So he's kind of --4 he's really the cause of it all, but I think if he 5 6 had been properly educated when he came to the 7 United States and it was explained to him that we don't do things this way, maybe he wouldn't have 8 9 done what he did.

I know that people are being hurt and I'm very sad about that, very sad. So why do I do it? I think it is an obligation and a duty. I think that science has to be as true as we can possibly make it. And when we find out we've made mistakes, I think we have to be willing to stand up and to say I made a mistake and I have to retract.

I would give anything to have not had this happened. When Marek called me in to see what Anupam had done that fateful night, March 26th, I believe it was, of 2001, I kept saying, "No, go away, I don't want to hear you. I don't want to see it." And finally he persuaded me, and that was a terrible moment.

24 So I guess that's really all that I can say,
25 I'm sorry. But once I started down this slippery

slope, I felt that I had an obligation to continue. 1 So I guess that's it. 2

3 Q The only thing I would ask is did you ever confront Dr. Bishayee with any of this at the 4 5 time?

6 А You know, I never did. I thought that was 7 Howell's job.

And when you and Dr. Lenarczyk 8 0 9 observed or shadowed Dr. Bishayee, you didn't go 10 back to Dr. Howell, though, did you?

11 Well, Marek and I shadowed. And when the А 12 experiment was completed, then I put everything together in three notebooks. And I didn't think 13 14 that Marek should have any further role, because I'm 15 the senior person and I had to take responsibility 16 for it. So I took the three notebooks, and my 17 obligation really was to report to Baker, but I 18 thought out of courtesy I had to report to Howell, 19 and so I did. And then he and I went together to 20 Baker. So I don't know, does that answer your 21 question?

22 Yes. You told Dr. Howell of 0 23 everything you did prior to telling Dr. Baker? 24 I gave him the notebook. I don't know А whether he looked at it or not. But what happened 25

1 was, I was worried because I knew at Anupam was 2 going to take contaminated cultures into the FACS 3 lab, the fluorescent activated cell sorter. That's a delicate instrument, and I didn't know whether 4 5 putting bacterial contamination or yeast or whatever 6 it would be into that, whether that would mess it 7 up, make a real mess of that instrument or not. I 8 was worried about that.

9 So I told the boss of the lab that I was 10 worried that these contaminated cultures might be 11 coming in on Friday. And I asked him, I told him I 12 was telling him in confidence, but then he broke my 13 confidence and he told Howell. And then actually I 14 think Howell told Bishayee to clean up your act. 15 And then Bishayee got the little tubes out of the 16 freezer and threw them away. And where he threw 17 them we don't know, because remember we couldn't 18 find them.

But in any case, because Denny, the head of that lab, spread the word, I guess then Howell went and told Baker what had been going on, that I had been making these observations. And then Baker, when we went to Baker, Baker said, "It's all over the medical school. The dean knows about it. And why are you making the department look bad," and so

on and so forth. So that's kind of the way it all
 began. And I can't remember what your question was
 that I was replying to.

That's okay. When Dr. Lenarczyk 4 0 first approached you on that fateful day in March, 5 6 why didn't you involve Dr. Howell then? 7 Because we both knew that Howell thought А 8 Bishayee was wonderful and really believed in him. 9 And we thought if we told Howell then that Howell 10 would tell Bishayee to stop the experiment, and we 11 would never have the proof that we would need to 12 show that Bishayee had been carrying out an 13 experiment with contaminated cultures. So we 14 decided to carry the experiment on through the very 15 end.

16 But wouldn't the desired result have 0 17 been achieved if you approached Howell and he did 18 exactly what you said and stopped the experiment, 19 isn't that ultimately the desired result? 20 А We wanted to convince him that Bishayee was 21 carrying an experiment through that he knew was 22 contaminated. You know, it didn't occur to me at 23 that time that he would actually make up the numbers 24 for the results. But that was our reasoning, that we should carry it through to the end, and then we'd 25

show how the flask that contained, he called it the 1 2 garden, because it had so much contamination in it. We thought we'd have more of an impact if we did it 3 4 that way. 5 0 It was Howell's grant, correct? 6 Α Yes. 7 And wouldn't it have been proper 0 protocol to go to him, rather than carry on all 8 9 these covert actions, allowing an experiment that 10 you had thought had gone awry to continue, wasting 11 resources and everything else that was going on? 12 It was just wasting incubation time, not a Α 13 big deal. It wasn't big money at that point. The 14 experiment, by the time I started in on it, by the 15 time Lenarczyk called me, which was like Wednesday, 16 the experiment had started on Monday, and everything 17 was said set up. It was not a big deal from that 18 point on. 19 I guess I just can't understand when 0 20 Lenarczyk came to you why, if it was Howell's grant, 21 he wasn't brought in on what was happening 22 immediately, so that he would have an opportunity to 23 participate in righting the wrong. 24 Because we didn't think that he would. А We

25 thought we really had to knock it home to him. We

1	had to go through to the very end, and then show him
2	at the end of the experiment. And then what
3	absolutely shocked us was the realization that
4	Anupam had taken the clean cells that he had gotten
5	from Marek and substituted them.
6	Q You already testified you didn't see
7	that happen. You don't know that to be the case.
8	A I don't know that.
9	Q If you had brought Howell to see what
10	you referred to as "the garden," wouldn't that have
11	terminated everything right then?
12	A I don't know.
13	Q Wouldn't that be irrefutable evidence
14	that you presented to him just by showing him those
15	tubes and that they were contaminated?
16	A He would have said you're showing me this
17	garden, but how do I know that he used this to make
18	the experiment.
19	Q You're saying that but you're
20	speculating, because you never gave him the
21	opportunity; isn't that true.
22	A That's true.
23	MR. LEONARD: I have no further
24	questions.
25	MR. PINCUS: I have questions.

1	MR. LEONARD: Thank you for your
2	time, Dr. Hill.
3	THE WITNESS: Okay.
4	(Whereupon the deposition is
5	adjourned at 2:45 p.m.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Dr. Helene Hill - Direct/Leonard 158

1 2 3 CERTIFICATION 4 I, SHARON B. STOPPIELLO, License 5 Number XIO1163, a Certified Court Reporter 6 and Notary Public of the State of New 7 Jersey, certify that the foregoing is a 8 9 true and accurate transcript of the deposition of DR. HELENE Z. HILL, who was 10 11 first duly sworn by me at the place and on 12 the date hereinbefore set forth. I further certify that I am neither 13 14 attorney nor counsel for, nor related to or 15 employed by, any of the parties to the 16 action in which this deposition was taken, 17 and further that I am not a relative or 18 employee of any attorney or counsel employed 19 in this case, nor am I financially 20 interested in the action. 21 22 A Notary Public of the State of New Jersey My commission expires June 28, 2013 23 I.D. No. 2045915 24 25

159